UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

ROANOKE DIVISION

* * * * * * * * * * * * * *

IN RE THE EXTRADITION OF * CASE NUMBER 7:12-MC-00039 ALMAZ NEZIROVIC, * SEPTEMBER 7, 2012 9:15 A.M.

* EXTRADITION PROCEEDING

* VOLUME I OF I

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT S. BALLOU
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

APPEARANCES:

For the United States: TIMOTHY J. HEAPHY

ELIZABETH G. WRIGHT

United States Attorney's Office 310 First Street, S.W., Room 906

Roanoke, VA 24011

For Almaz Nezirovic: FAY FRANCES SPENCE

Federal Public Defender's Office 210 First Street, SW, Suite 400

Roanoke, VA 24014

Interpreters: EMESE KEDMEN

JULIA REA

Court Reporter: JUDY K. WEBB, RPR

P.O. Box 1234

Roanoke, Virginia 24006 (540)857-5100 Ext. 5333

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.

	EXCLAGITION OF AIMAZ NEZIOVIC - 97 1/2012					
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS Further					
2		Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recross	
3	WITNESSES FOR ALMAZ NEZROVIC:					
4	Carl Dahlman, Ph.D.	28	66	82	86	88
5	Alan Pelesic	89				
6	Maid Sipic	102				
7	Ibrahim Pelesic	112				
8	Almaz Nezirovic	119				
9						
10						
11						
12	DEFENDANT'S	MARKER				
13	EXHIBITS: 1	MARKED 30		RECEIVED 30		
14	2	129		129		
15	A	119				
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

```
1
        (Court convened at 9:15 A.M.)
 2
            THE COURT: All right. Good morning.
 3
            MR. HEAPHY: Good morning, Your Honor.
            MS. WRIGHT: Good morning.
 4
 5
            THE COURT: Let's go ahead and call the case, and
   then we'll get the interpreters sworn in and proceed.
6
7
            THE CLERK: This is In the Matter of the Extradition
8
   of Almaz Nezirovic, Action Number 7:12-MC-00039.
9
            THE COURT: All right. The record reflects the
   government is present by its counsel; the defendant, likewise,
10
11
   is present by counsel. We have two interpreters for
12
   Mr. Nezirovic this morning.
13
            Y'all have not been sworn; is that correct?
            THE INTERPRETER: Not yet.
14
15
            THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead and get the
16
   interpreters sworn.
17
            THE CLERK: If you will raise your right hand,
18
   please.
19
        (Interpreters are sworn.)
20
            THE COURT: All right, Mr. Nezirovic, good morning.
21
            MR. NEZIROVIC: (In English) Good morning.
22
            THE COURT: My name is Robert Ballou. We're here
   today in connection with the extradition proceeding that's
23
24
   been initiated here. You have with you two interpreters that
25
   are going to switch back and forth during the course of
```

```
1
   today's events. I want to make sure that you're able to
 2
   understand me before we get going this morning, with the
   assistance of the interpreter.
 3
            Are you able to understand me?
 4
 5
            MR. NEZIROVIC: (In English) Yeah, I understand.
             THE COURT: If at any point in time something happens
 6
 7
   that you don't understand from a language standpoint, let me
   know, let Ms. Spence know, and we'll back up and we'll make
 8
 9
   sure that you understand everything that's occurred. If at
   any point in time you need to ask Ms. Spence a question, then
10
11
   you go ahead and do that. And we'll make sure you understand
   everything that's occurred as we go forward today.
12
13
            Do you understand?
            MR. NEZIROVIC: (In English) Okay.
14
15
            THE COURT: Now, I believe the first thing we need to
16
   do is to take up expected objections as to expert witnesses
17
   and other fact witnesses.
18
            Is that right, Mr. Heaphy?
19
            MR. HEAPHY: Yes, Your Honor.
20
            THE COURT:
                        Ms. Spence, do you want to go ahead and
21
   just proffer the expected testimony on behalf of the
   witnesses?
2.2
23
            MS. SPENCE: Yes, Your Honor.
24
            THE COURT: Good morning.
25
            MS. SPENCE: Good morning. As an expert witness,
```

1 Mr. Nezirovic intends to call Dr. Carl Dahlman. 2 THE COURT: All right. MS. SPENCE: And he will provide a brief history of 3 the Balkans, particularly from the formation of Yugoslavia to 4 5 the present, with emphases on the geopolitical factors leading to the dissolution of Yugoslavia. He will provide an in-depth 6 7 analysis of the geopolitical factors that lead to the war, including the political ambitions of Serbia's leader, 8 9 Milosevic; the use of propaganda and manipulation of history to create and intensify national sentiments; and the extensive 10 11 secretive Serbian planning for the war long before the first 12 shot was fired. 13 He will explain the creation and growth of the Serbian democratic party in Bosnia; the party's creation of 14 15 regional associations; clandestine military training; creation 16 of crisis staffs; recruiting and coordinating police chiefs, 17 paramilitary groups, and the former Yugoslavian army; all 18 preparing to violently challenge the government of Bosnia, and 19 to refuse to recognize or comply with the laws of the Bosnian 20 government. He will explain the term "ethnic cleansing," 21 describing how and why it was carried out in Bosnia, and how 22 23 the violence perpetrated by those on the Serbian Nationalists' 24 side perpetuated and increased the extreme nationalism of all

parties in the region and basically destroying the fabric of

25

the one-time multicultural tolerant state of Bosnia.

To help the Court understand the context in which the alleged offenses supposedly occurred, which is necessary for evaluation of the political offense question, Dr. Dahlman will describe the number and nature of war crimes committed by Serbs and Croatians during war. He will explain the development of the International Criminal Tribunal of Yugoslavia, its purpose, and the procedures developed for initiating war crimes prosecutions on a more local level.

He will explain which groups controlled the territory of Doboj and Derventa at different times, and discuss the difference between being in control of a territory versus being the legitimate government for the territory, and, based on this, will opine that the report filed on January 12th, 1993, was not an action by the government of Bosnia at the time but of the rebels who did not recognize the government of Bosnia.

He will explain the international and negotiated
Dayton Agreement which ended the war, or at least the
large-scale fighting, and explain how the war and the Dayton
Agreement changed the demographic and political makeup of
Bosnia, particularly in Doboj, where this prosecution is
pending, and Derventa, where Nezirovic previously lived and
these events allegedly occurred.

He will further explain and provide examples of the

polarization that continued to exist between Serbs, Croats, and Bosniaks after the war, the more subtle forms of political persecution, including discrimination in employment and provision of services, continued dissemination of false propaganda, and other efforts to maintain the status quo of wartime leaders who wished to remain in political power in postwar Bosnia.

He will describe how the local government in Doboj blatantly disregarded laws and the Dayton Agreement provisions, and describe a culture of political corruption that was rampant in Bosnia and Doboj, including in the court system, throughout the late 1990s and into the latter part of the first decade of the 21st Century.

And finally, he will discuss the inconsistent and selective handling of war crime prosecutions on the Republic of Srpska side of Bosnia, including inconsistences in the number and types of charges brought against Serbs versus non-Serbs, the fear of prosecuting prominent Serbs in the community for extremely serious war crimes, while spending scarce resources to fund prosecution of non-Serbs for relatively less serious war crimes.

And all of this testimony is necessary to give the Court the contextual information essential for determining whether the alleged crimes constitute political offenses and, therefore, exempt from extradition under the treaty.

1 THE COURT: All right. Let me just make sure -- let 2 me summarize it to make sure I have it right. I kind of have it in three different areas: One is 3 an overall history of the geopolitical landscape in the area, 4 5 both leading up to the formation of Yugoslavia, the dissolution of Yugoslavia, and then the present status of the 6 7 various different countries in the area. Secondly, the context of the war crime offenses and 8 9 things that occurred during the Bosnian conflict in the early 10 '90s. 11 And then the third is the development of, I guess, 12 the political system, if you will, and after the war up to 13 today, and for lack of a better term, the selective prosecution of crimes of this nature in Bosnia. 14 15 Is that a fair way to summarize it, in those three 16 areas? 17 MS. SPENCE: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. While we're here, and before we 19 get government's objections, go ahead and summarize -- proffer the evidence of the fact witnesses that you have as well. 20 MS. SPENCE: Alan Pelesic will be testifying. He 21 22 lived in Cardak, which was a subdivision of Derventa, at the 23 time the hostilities started. And he will testify about the 24 bombing of his neighborhood by his own neighbors, and the 25 atrocities that were committed in early April 1992 in his

1 neighborhood, while he and his family hid in the basement of a neighbor's apartment, even after the neighbor had been killed. 2 3 And he will also describe what they witnessed from their hiding point, of the atrocities that were being 4 5 committed against their Muslim neighbors and others who happened to have the misfortune of coming through the area on 6 7 their way to the nearby hospital. THE COURT: Is this the same neighborhood where 8 9 Mr. Nezirovic lived at that time? 10 MS. SPENCE: No. It is the neighborhood where the 11 complaining witnesses, who claimed that they were civilians, 12 lived. 13 THE COURT: All right. MS. SPENCE: And at least one of the identified named 14 15 complaining witnesses who alleged to be a civilian, that 16 evidence the next factual witness will dispute. And that 17 witness would be Maid Sipic --18 THE COURT: Spell that so we have it, if you can. 19 MS. SPENCE: Yes. The first name is M-A-I-D. 20 name is S-I-P-I-C. 21 Who on April the 18th was en route to taking a 22 passenger to the hospital when he came up on a construction vehicle that was barricading the road at Cardak, and suddenly 23 shots were fired. The vehicle -- I can't remember now if it 24 25 was the driver or the passenger, but sitting next to him, was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

killed by that gunfire, right next to him. And he was shot and wounded and got out and tried to hide but was apprehended by Serbian nationalists and detained. He was taken to a home in that Cardak neighborhood that day, and there was accosted by Dr. Stajcic, one of the complaining witnesses in the --THE COURT: In the government's evidence. MS. SPENCE: -- in the government's evidence, who beat him and put a gun in his mouth and threatened to blow his head off rather than providing him any medical care for his gunshot wounds. He was subsequently detained in the Banja Luka camp until he was released in June as part of a prisoner exchange. He will also testify that he is very concerned for the safety of family members still living in Bosnia as a result of his decision to come forward and testify today. Ibrahim Pelesic -- that's P-E-L-E-S-I-C, the father of Alan -- will testify also. He won't duplicate his son's testimony, although he was present at the same time and saw much of the same things. He worked for a month as a journalist for Radio Derventa immediately after the events of April 18th, and in his capacity as a journalist there filmed a lot of the destruction and bombing that was going on. And he will identify -- he has actually done several hours of videos that included interviews of people and

everything. But I'm introducing seven short clips, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

constitute political offenses.

longest one being two minutes and five seconds, of just the bombing, the destruction itself, so as not to introduce any hearsay or anything. But this is film footage he took himself to describe the conditions that were present in early to mid April in Derventa, where the offenses occurred. And he will also talk about his brother having expressed concerns to him about his being willing to testify in this case, because he fears reprisals in Bosnia. THE COURT: All right. And the purpose of the fact witnesses is to establish what? MS. SPENCE: To establish the context in which the events and the alleged crimes would have occurred, because that's necessary for a true evaluation of whether they rise to the level of crimes against humanity that would put them outside of any political offense exception, or whether in fact this was truly a political offense that occurred during a very violent uprising and clearly incidental to it. THE COURT: And I don't know whether -- is this going to the issue of whether there's sufficient probable cause stated by the government or as to whether the alleged actions -- and I know you're not agreeing that the acts occurred -- but that the alleged offenses, if they did occur, whether they constitute political acts? MS. SPENCE: Yes. It goes only to whether they

1 THE COURT: All right. Let me hear the government's 2 objections, Mr. Heaphy. 3 MR. HEAPHY: Thank you, Your Honor. And good morning. 4 5 THE COURT: Good morning. 6 MR. HEAPHY: We filed a pleading on this issue with 7 respect to the political offense exception, and Ms. Spence has 8 helpfully now proffered more specifically what the relevance, 9 suggested relevance, of this evidence is. And it is all 10 connected, as Ms. Spence just said, to the political offense 11 exception. 12 And that again, Your Honor, is the basis for our 13 objection, because on the facts of this case, which again the Court accepts and Ms. Spence cannot validly contradict, only 14 15 explain, there can be no political offense exception. 16 The acts alleged by the Bosnians in the materials 17 submitted to the Court demonstrate persistent acts of torture 18 against civilians, not combatants, not soldiers, not acts 19 committed on the battlefield, but rather acts committed 20 against Serbs, civilians who were rounded up and put in this camp and then subjected to degrading and inhuman treatment. 21 The facts, as detailed, explicitly indicate an ethnic 22 23 bias, a bias against Serbs. Some of the acts were actually 24 committed against parts of the body of the Serbs that had

religious significance, this three-fingered sign.

There were

25

1 statements made by Mr. Nezirovic about the fact that this motivation for his violence was because of the Serb ethnicity. 2 3 THE COURT: Let me ask you this, to make sure I put it in the right context. Understanding the limited nature of 4 5 the hearing as it relates to the defendant's ability to challenge probable cause and challenge the evidence for 6 7 purposes of probable cause, but the defendant, Mr. Nezirovic, does carry the burden as it relates to establishing the 8 9 political exception? MR. HEAPHY: Exactly. 10 11 THE COURT: And as I read it, it's a two-step 12 inquiry: Is there a political conflict that's ongoing? And I 13 think that's the first part that I believe the expert is expected to testify to and set in historical context so we can 14 15 understand that. And I think to a certain extent, the fact 16 witnesses will touch upon that as well. 17 And the second part is then the actions, the alleged 18 actions of Mr. Nezirovic in furtherance of his side of the 19 political conflict. 20 MR. HEAPHY: Right. THE COURT: What is the evidentiary -- one, the 21 evidentiary standard that Mr. Nezirovic has? And doesn't he 22 23 get more leeway in putting on evidence than he does with 24 respect to challenging probable cause? 25 MR. HEAPHY: He has to, Your Honor, put on evidence

that is relevant, that goes specifically to an issue that is 1 2 at stake in the proceeding. 3 We cited in our pleading to the Marzook case and other cases which have explicitly held that the political --4 5 it is proper for a magistrate judge to restrict expert testimony if a valid political offense exception cannot be 6 7 established on the facts. THE COURT: And both Marzook and I think the 8 9 Demjanjuk case as well, the primary ones that have held that there are certain acts that are just simply beyond the pale. 10 11 MR. HEAPHY: Exactly. THE COURT: And Demjanjuk dealt with Treblinka, and 12 13 Marzook was --MR. HEAPHY: The bombing, terrorist bombing of a bus. 14 15 THE COURT: That's right. And those relate to 16 civilians on the streets -- or civilians that were taken off 17 the streets and were murdered, killed. 18 MR. HEAPHY: Right. 19 THE COURT: And my point is, doesn't Mr. Nezirovic 20 get the ability to be able to try to distinguish these 21 allegations and where he was from the cases that have said 22 there's certain actions that are beyond the pale, whether mass murders and indiscriminate acts that occur during civilian 23 24 life? 25 MR. HEAPHY: Our first argument, Your Honor, is that

this case is exactly like *Marzook*. The acts alleged here, which, again, the Court essentially takes as established — Ms. Spence cannot rebut them, can only explain them — put this in the category of those offenses, terrorist offenses, that are beyond the pale, are crimes against humanity.

The degrading and inhuman treatment against civilians, as established by the materials we submitted, remove this on the papers from the realm of the political. The fact that there's a political context is not in dispute. Obviously, there was a lot going on in Bosnia at the time this did occur, in the midst. But the case law is legion that that context does not provide a license for individuals to persecute, to torture, to do things that don't forward the cause but rather are ethnically biased acts of hatred, and that's what we have here on these facts.

So under the *Marzook* line, we think, Your Honor, that there just is no basis here for a political offense finding, and that gives the Court the reason to exclude the testimony.

But the second reason, Your Honor, and that is the line of cases that follow *Gallina v. Fraser*, are that there's really no connection between the proffered evidence and the allegations of what happened with respect to this witness and these facts. Okay.

Gallina v. Fraser was a case in which the fugitive sought to subpoena a witness to testify generally about the Sicilian

separatist movement. The fugitive was a participant in a political conflict in Sicily. The Court refused to issue a subpoena in that case because there was no connection between the proffered expert testimony of the Sicilian separatist movement and that fugitive and what occurred.

Very same thing happening here. We have an academic who will give us a history lesson about the Bosnian conflict, all of which, again, I think the Court can take judicial notice there was a political context here. But he doesn't connect that in any way to Mr. Nezirovic, what occurred in the Rabic camp, what he did. He simply has no knowledge of that, and from the proffer, neither do the fact witnesses. There is no sense that they were present at the Rabic camp.

So there's a disconnect between the proffer and this history, which is compelling and awful. But it's also a potential sideshow, Your Honor. And the cases, again, make clear that we are not here to relitigate the Bosnian conflict, and the Court has to be careful and focus its inquiry on what's at stake.

There can be no political offense exception on the fact of this record. And again, if the proffered evidence does not in any way connect this defendant or this fugitive and these acts to that political context, there is a disconnect. So for both those reasons, Your Honor, we don't believe that this evidence is relevant.

1 And then the only other thing I would say is that we have 2 cited in our brief the general authority that the fugitive's 3 ability to present evidence is highly circumscribed; he cannot contradict the government's evidence, only explain it; he 4 cannot impeach witnesses' credibility. There was a suggestion 5 by Ms. Spence at one point, I believe, that one of the 6 7 witnesses --THE COURT: Civilian. 8 9 MR. HEAPHY: -- one of the civilians had some evidence about Dr. Stajcic, who was one of the complaining 10 11 witnesses; again, plainly improper under the statute. Challenging the credibility of a complaining witness in the 12 13 Bosnian materials is just, under the case law, not proper. The Court has to accept that. Ms. Spence can explain it but 14 15 not rebut or contradict it. 16 There's also something called the rule of non-inquiry 17 which applies in extradition. In the Martin v. the Warden of 18 Atlanta Penitentiary case --19 THE COURT: For the after-war events? 20 MR. HEAPHY: Well, no. It's just the rule of 21 non-inquiry precludes extradition magistrates from assessing 22 the investigative, judicial, and penal systems of foreign nations when reviewing an extradition request. 23 24 THE COURT: That's what I meant, from the after-war events with respect to selected prosecution --25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HEAPHY: Even before the political -- the rule of non-inquiry does not allow this Court to delve into and evaluate whether or not there's a fair process during the conflict, during the war crimes allegations and after. Those are matters that are left for the executive, or left for the Secretary of State. All of the information proffered by Ms. Spence is very, very compelling proof to the Secretary of State that there are other reasons why there should not be an extradition. But, again, this Court, under that rule of non-inquiry, has to focus its inquiry on very specific things. And if you accept the invitation to dive into whether or not the Bosnian process was or was not fair, that is really beyond the scope of this Court's inquiry. So for the rule of non-inquiry established clearly in that Martin case and others, for the Court to follow that, you would have to exclude this evidence. So, Your Honor, for all those reasons, we think this is an invitation to get into the realm that's appropriately left for the Secretary, to develop evidence that's just, while compelling and interesting, not relevant to the political offense exception, or which can't be established, or any other element that's before the Court. And for that reason, we would ask you to exclude it.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Heaphy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Spence. And break it down for me, Ms. Spence. First of all, deal with what Mr. Heaphy spoke about, and that is whether there is a nexus between the proffered testimony of Dr. Dahlman and the alleged acts of Mr. Nezirovic, and then also any nexus between the proffered testimony of the fact witnesses as it relates to Mr. Nezirovic. MS. SPENCE: Yes, sir. I might also add that Mr. Nezirovic will briefly testify that when he was employed at the Rabic detention facility as a guard, he was not on the front lines, and that it was his understanding that everyone brought into that prison was in fact a combatant. Whether or not that is true, it goes to his state of mind, which is relevant to whether or not he was acting incident to the political events going on. In particular, his knowledge of other events committed by Dr. Stajcic, including incidents that resulted in the death of a close friend of his, are relevant in determining whether this motivation was related to this conflict, whether there was that nexus. I would also submit that the evidence from the expert regarding what was happening -- and Dr. Dahlman has been to Bosnia and Doboj on several occasions for extended periods of time, researching the things that happened there -- it is all relevant to determining the context necessary to establish

whether or not these alleged crimes, as the Court has already

noted, although certainly not nice actions, are far different from the bombing of a bus of civilians or pulling up in a car to an apartment complex and shooting down upon civilians, or the IRA activities and PLO activities that have not been recognized as political offenses.

This was right in the middle of a civil war in Bosnia, and understanding the context of what was going on, what was being done, in his understanding, to his people is relevant to deciding whether or not these offenses, as alleged by the government, are sufficient to rise to a level of crimes against humanity that would place them beyond a political offense exception.

And I would ask that the Court consider, if there's any hesitation in the Court's mind about allowing the testimony, to admit it provisionally and allow the witnesses to present the evidence so that the Court can see how in fact it really does tie into the political nature of the alleged allegations.

THE COURT: All right. What about the rule of non-inquiry, and that is the selective prosecution, whether or not — when the 1993 charge was returned, whether it was a legitimate political entity that returned the charge, and then the present-day circumstances over there? Isn't that all reserved for the Secretary of State under the developing case law?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT:

MS. SPENCE: There is certainly case law that would suggest that the selective prosecution evidence in some circumstances is a matter for the executive, but those cases don't involve a treaty that has the language that this treaty does. And determination of whether an offense falls within the treaty is something that the courts are able to do. other courts have recognized that the rule of non-inquiry does not preclude the Court from making factual findings of certain conditions that did or did not exist, perhaps maybe not deciding any political question on it, but that that would apply to the selective prosecution evidence postwar. As far as what occurred during the war, the evidence of the propaganda that Dr. Dahlman will offer that was being submitted to perpetuate the ethnic tensions and keep the war going is very relevant to whether or not this is a political offense. Propaganda and lies about who was doing what to whom were part of the war process over there, and so I do not think that his testimony about things happening in 1993, when the war was going on, would violate the rule of non-inquiry. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anything further? MS. SPENCE: No, sir. THE COURT: Anything further? MR. HEAPHY: Yeah, just very quickly, Your Honor, because there was one additional proffer.

Hang on a second. Do y'all need to

```
1
   switch out?
2
            THE INTERPRETER: Yeah.
 3
            MR. HEAPHY: Am I talking too fast, too? I
   apologize.
 4
 5
            THE INTERPRETER: You were okay.
            MR. HEAPHY: Okay.
 6
 7
            THE COURT: Are you ready?
            THE INTERPRETER: Yes.
 8
9
            THE COURT: Thank you.
            MR. HEAPHY: Your Honor, I'll just address
10
11
   Ms. Spence's last point about Mr. Nezirovic testifying, or
12
   offering to testify, about his state of mind. Again, that is
13
   a substantive defense. Testimony that he didn't do it or he
   didn't mean to do it isn't proper.
14
15
            THE COURT: I think it goes to, and specifically
16
   looking at Arambasic, and also the Fourth Circuit case
17
   Ordinola, that talks about it being both an objective and a
18
   subjective inquiry. What I understand she's saying is to look
19
   at the subjective state of mind with respect to Mr. Nezirovic;
   if he is seeing and hearing all of these things -- if you
20
   accept as true the allegations, the subjective state of mind
21
   as to the persons who were there, and thus to explain his
22
23
   actions for purposes of establishing that it is in furtherance
24
   of or incident to the overall political situation.
25
            MR. HEAPHY:
                         Uh-huh. I don't know that the political
```

offense exception contemplates any standard of state of mind.

It is simply the acts are committed somehow to forward a —

that's an objective inquiry. I know *Ordinola* talks a little

bit about the mode of attack and the nature of the victims,

but the court is evaluating whether or not those acts could be

construed in some way as forwarding a political goal.

And in *Ordinola* -- I'm glad you raised that case, because the court cites the explicit decision of the State Department that the political offense exception is not applicable to violent attacks on civilians.

On the face of these papers, we have allegations of violent attacks against civilians, so there just is no political offense exception. You have to give deference to the State Department; it is an executive determination. There can be no showing of that made. His state of mind isn't relevant to that, because the acts cannot be, in the view of the State Department, construed in any way as forwarding any sort of political goal. So that testimony would not be relevant. It's essentially an offer of a defense to the case, which is something that is very relevant in the Bosnian proceeding when these charges, if and when, they're adjudicated. It doesn't affect whether or not there's any probable cause here or whether there's a political offense exception.

Again, Your Honor, we have to focus on what is the

Court's inquiry and limit our focus and the evidentiary record to that inquiry. All of these arguments, as compelling as they are, are unlikely to be challenged in any way by us, are better saved either for the Secretary of State or, ultimately, for a trial on the merits of this in Bosnia. They're simply not appropriate here.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

All right, let me break it down this way: As to the testimony of Dr. Dahlman -- and here's the way that I look at the political offense exception, is that it is a two-step inquiry under the *Ordinola* case that the Fourth Circuit follows. And first of all, Mr. Nezirovic will bear the burden of establishing that there is a political uprising that is in place.

And while I don't think that there's going to be a lot of objection from the government to that argument, I think to a certain extent the Court can take judicial notice of many of those facts, and we may be able to go through that testimony fairly quickly.

I think that that's relevant to that portion of the political offense exception. I'm going to allow him to testify to that, and allow him to testify to circumstances of what was going on in the Bosnian area, specifically focused on Derventa at that time, specifically focused on the conflict between the Serbs and the Croats at that particular time, to

provide that perspective. Included in that will necessarily be, understanding that there were atrocities that occurred, explaining to the Court some of those things.

With respect to the -- and that takes care of kind of the first two areas that I understand he is going to testify to, and that is historical context and then the context during the war.

With respect to the events after 1992, the rule of non-inquiry I do believe reserves for the Secretary of State the issues as to whether this is a selective prosecution; whether this is a politically motivated prosecution; whether or not the return of Mr. Nezirovic, if the Court finds that that's appropriate and the Secretary of State finds that that's appropriate, whether that will subject him to conditions that are beyond the pale, if you will. Those are all reserved for the Secretary of State. To the extent that — so I'm not going to allow him to testify to that.

To the extent that his testimony goes to any issue as to whether the charge in 1993, the documents that were filed yesterday, is a legitimate criminal charge, I will allow him to testify to that, because I think that goes to some issues that may ultimately exist from a legal standpoint in the case.

As it relates to the fact witnesses, I'm going to kind of hold the same line in that regard in that they can testify as to what was going on at the time, to put some

context into place as to the political situation. But there does need to be a nexus, both as to the expert witness and also as to the fact witnesses, as to Mr. Nezirovic. And to the extent that he's going to testify that he was aware of all these things as well, I think we'll address that at that point in time.

I understand well the government's argument; and ultimately, it may be exactly right, especially under the Ordinola case, that to the extent — the allegations are that these offenses were committed against civilians. And except as to one particular complaining witness, I'm not sure there's going to be a challenge that anyone else was anything other than a civilian. And ultimately, the political offense exception may not exist because of the holdings in Ordinola and other cases.

But I'm going to allow Mr. Nezirovic to build a complete record so that I can make that decision based upon that information. And so I'm not ruling the political offense exception exists, I'm not ruling in that regard, but I'm allowing Dr. Dahlman to testify.

But what I don't want to have is -- I want it to be tailored to information that would have been known to Mr. Nezirovic, information that would have been -- that would apply to his particular unit and what he would have known with respect to the role his unit played in this conflict, and then

```
1
   any acts that would have occurred in the Rabic camp in the
 2
   spring and summer of 1992.
 3
            All right. Does that cover everything, Ms. Spence?
            MR. HEAPHY: I think I understand, Your Honor. Yes.
 4
 5
            MS. SPENCE: Yes, I understand.
 6
            THE COURT: And Dr. Dahlman is going to testify
 7
   first?
8
            MS. SPENCE: Yes, Your Honor.
9
            THE COURT: Okay. So I think we need about ten
10
   minutes or so to get him squared away.
11
            THE CLERK: Right.
            THE COURT: We'll take a brief recess until we can
12
13
   get Dr. Dahlman in place.
14
         (Recess taken from 9:54 a.m. until 10:05 a.m.)
15
            THE COURT: All right. Before we get going,
16
   Ms. Spence, I just want to make sure that we are -- that I'm
17
   clear on a couple of things. With respect to the issue of
18
   identity, is it my understanding that Mr. Nezirovic does not
19
   contest the identity issue as it relates to he is one that is
   identified, at least in the charging documents?
20
21
            MS. SPENCE: We don't contest that he's been
22
   identified by three people.
23
            THE COURT: Okay. All right, very well. We'll deal
   with that.
24
25
            All right. My understanding, you wish to proceed and
```

```
1
   we'll take Dr. Dahlman out of order.
            Dr. Dahlman, good morning.
 2
 3
            THE WITNESS: Good morning.
            THE COURT: My name is Robert Ballou. I want to make
 4
5
   sure that you can understand me and everything that's going on
          Can you hear us just fine?
6
   here.
 7
            THE WITNESS: The audio slipped out there.
            THE COURT: All right. Can you hear us just fine
8
9
   now?
10
            THE WITNESS: Most of the time, yes.
11
            THE COURT: Does this microphone go in and out?
   Sometimes my microphone will go in and out.
12
13
            Okay. I'll ask everyone, make sure you speak clearly
   into the microphones so that Dr. Dahlman can hear you.
14
15
            And, Dr. Dahlman, if at any point in time you do not
16
   hear us completely, don't get a full question, just let us
17
   know and we'll make sure we repeat it. If you answer a
18
   question, we're going to have to presume that you understood
19
   and heard the full question. All right?
20
            THE WITNESS: Okay.
            THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead and have him
21
22
   sworn. And you can proceed, Ms. Spence.
23
            THE CLERK: Dr. Dahlman, can you raise your right
24
   hand, please.
25
       CARL DAHLMAN, Ph.D., WITNESS FOR ALMAZ NEZIROVIC, SWORN
```

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SPENCE: 2 3 Good morning, Doctor. Would you state your name for the record. Carl Dahlman. 5 Α What is your current occupation? 6 7 Professor of geography at Miami University. What is geography? 8 9 Geography is a broad field. We study everything from human environment interaction to human societies and the 10 spaces and places that they occupy. 11 How long have you been a geography professor at Miami 12 13 University? Here, since 2006. 14 Α 15 And where did you teach before that? 16 I taught at the University of South Carolina in Columbia, 17 South Carolina. 18 And how long were you there? 19 Α I was there for five years. 20 What is your formal education? I did two undergraduate degrees: a BA in music, a 21 bachelor of science in sociology. I did a master's degree in 22 urban affairs, and Ph.D. in geography, as well as a graduate 23 24 certificate in social theory.

Where did you get your bachelor degrees?

25

```
Virginia Tech.
1
   Α
        And your master's?
 2
 3
   Α
        Virginia Tech.
        And where did you get your --
 4
5
            MR. HEAPHY: Your Honor, excuse me. I'll stipulate
6
   to his qualifications. I don't think we need a lengthy voir
 7
   dire.
8
            THE COURT: Very well.
9
            Do you have a CV?
10
            MS. SPENCE: I do, Your Honor. And I would at this
11
   time offer it into evidence.
12
            THE COURT: Any objection?
13
            MR. HEAPHY: No, sir.
            THE COURT: It will be so admitted as Defense Exhibit
14
15
   Number 1.
16
         (Defendant's Exhibit Number 1 was marked and received.)
17
            THE COURT: All right, thank you.
18
            Go ahead, Ms. Spence.
19
            MS. SPENCE: Thank you.
20
   BY MS. SPENCE:
        Dr. Dahlman, I want to ask you specifically about Bosnia.
21
22
   Have you been to Bosnia?
23
        Yes. I've been many times, and traveled to former
   Α
24
   Yugoslavia many times over the last 12 years.
25
        And many of the articles and publications on your CV are
```

- 1 specifically about the geopolitics of Bosnia?
- 2 A Yes. I would say the bulk of them are, including the
- 3 | major book that I coauthored, about five chapters in other
- 4 books, and nine academic articles.
- 5 Q How did the country of Yugoslavia come to be?
- 6 A Yugoslavia was put together after World War I. The end
- 7 of the Ottoman and Austro-Hungarian Empire lead to the
- 8 creation of a Kingdom of Yugoslavia, also known as the Kingdom
- 9 of Slovenes, Croats, and Serbs. That was put together in
- 10 | 1918; it existed as a kingdom until World War II. It was
- 11 occupied by the German and Italian forces during World War II,
- 12 reorganized for a few years, and then came back as the
- 13 | Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, the second
- 14 Yugoslavia, you could say, from 1945 until 1992.
- $15 \mid Q$ What people originally inhabited the area known as
- 16 Croatia?
- 17 A The area currently known as Croatia is thought to have
- 18 been originally occupied by a number of Slavic groups that
- 19 moved there about, roughly, the 7th or 8th Century A.D. They
- 20 were later Catholicized and remain nominally Roman Catholic
- 21 today.
- 22 Q How about Serbia?
- 23 A Serbia was predominantly occupied by another Slavic group
- 24 that came there at about the same time. They have been, as a
- 25 | group, more closely identified nominally with the Orthodox

variant of Christianity and have their own national church, 1 the Serbian Orthodox Church. 2 And what about Bosnia? 3 In Bosnia, they're a mix of Croats, that is to say 4 5 Slavic-speaking Catholics, and Serbs, that is to say Slavic-speaking Orthodox peoples. 6 7 There are also a large number of people that practiced a medieval faith, and many of these people converted to Islam 8 9 under the Ottoman Empire; so they are of the same groups that originally inhabited that area but chose the Muslim faith 10 during the years of the Ottoman Empire. 11 And in Bosnia, I should say you have a mix of all three, 12 as well as Roma, a small minority now of Germans, and so on. 13 Traditionally, have there been national or religious or 14 15 ethnic tensions among the citizens of Bosnia prior to the 16 communist era? 17 There were, in the 19th Century, there were nationalist 18 movements throughout Europe. And these were political parties 19 that advocated for separate cultural groups or nations to have 20 their own states. But they were political parties; they didn't necessarily dominate social life or define social 21 22 regulations among people. So there have been these 23 nationalist projects and these nationalist tensions over the 24 last two centuries. But it's important to point out that

Bosnians have lived together -- of different faiths, have

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lived together for hundreds of years, with long periods of peace and stability. During the pre-World War II Kingdom of Yugoslavia, which of the entities that had been put together to create that kingdom was the dominant entity? Well, Serbia had been a major force since the late 19th Century. As they broke away from -- as they broke away from the Ottoman Empire, they were backed by the Russians. sort of appeared first as a kingdom, as the empires broke up. In that area, it had long been dominant and exerted a great deal of influence on its neighbors, especially in Bosnia. The media has often portrayed this as a conflict between Serbs, Croats, and Muslims. Is that an accurate characterization of the Muslims? Well, Muslim in the sense of former Yugoslavia or Bosnia is oftentimes qualified, terms like Muslim in the cultural sense, or the Islamic nation, so the sense that they are nominally Muslim. The important distinction here is that the influence of religion in these groups during the 20th Century was important, but it was just one of many things that were part of people's experience. And during communist Yugoslavia, religion was more marginalized. It wasn't outlawed, but it was marginalized, and people didn't necessarily identify so strongly with their culture or their ethnic group.

known, but it wasn't necessarily the most important thing to 1 2 It was more important to have camaraderie with 3 coworkers or a common military experience against the Nazis or something like that. But there were other things that allowed 4 5 people to identify with each other. So for non-Serbian and non-Croatian people in Bosnia, 6 would "Bosniak" be a more accurate reference? 7 Bosniak is a more accurate reference. It helps us to 8 9 better -- to remind ourselves that these are not necessarily -- well, Bosniaks oftentimes describe themselves 10 as not being very good Muslims, in the sense they don't 11 necessarily follow the strictures of the kinds of austere 12 13 interpretations of Islam like you would find in parts of the Middle East. 14 15 What factors led to the demise of communist Yugoslavia? 16 Well, the 1980s were a period of political transition in 17 Yugoslavia after the death of Josip Broz Tito, who had been 18 the leader of communist Yugoslavia, had fought against the 19 Nazis. There was competition for leadership within the 20 communist party, and it wasn't clear who was going to win 21 that. 22 At the same time, there was something of a resurgence of 23 nationalist groups, politicians who were advocating for 24 greater autonomy for the different national groups, so in 25 Slovenia, in Croatia, in Macedonia, and in Serbia and so on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The real crisis came about when there was an economic crisis of the 1980s. There was no clear leadership or a way out of the crisis. And as the rest of Eastern Europe began to transition away from communism and there was a movement towards free elections, there were not many good alternatives in terms of politically where the country should go. So the strongest voices in Yugoslavia became these relatively, at the time, small political parties that had organized themselves around ethnic and national identities. Who was Milosevic? Milosevic was a Communist Party official who was a politician first in the city of Belgrade, and then became more active in the party. He was one of the first of the nationalist politicians in Yugoslavia to advocate for stronger national rights. He became president of Serbia at a time that the Yugoslav constitution was being attacked as unfair to certain peoples. It was a time when there was an interest in Slovenia and Croatia to perhaps be more autonomous. Meanwhile, the Milosevic regime wanted to gain more power within Yugoslavia and to have a Serbian-dominated Yugoslavia. And so he became the leader of the Serb nationalist party within Serbia, but also was very much influential in the Serb nationalist party that you found in Croatia and in Bosnia among the Serbs living there.

So how did he feel about actions by Croatia and Slovenia

and Bosnia to become independent entities?

2.2

A Well, originally his plan seemed to have been that he wanted to keep Yugoslavia together, with Serbia as the strongest player; in other words, a centralized authority.

Increasingly, though, as he sought that route, it fueled separatist sentiments in Slovenia and Croatia. And so as those countries left and he realized he couldn't keep them from going, he decided — he and other Serb nationalists decided what they needed to do was to redraw the boundaries of Yugoslavia.

And as Bosnia started to talk about separating from Yugoslavia as well, the plan came to be to encourage Serb separatist areas to break away within Croatia, to break away within Bosnia, and to remain a part of Yugoslavia by redrawing the boundaries in the region.

- Q And when did Milosevic begin contemplating this plan?
- A Well, to the extent that we can see it in formation, we can see the arguments that he made as he was a politician as early as 1987, 1988. In terms of organizing a plan to reorganize Yugoslavia, 1989/1990, as he was president of Yugoslavia, he began to put these policies in place.

In terms of reorganizing the territory, this is a plan that came into formation in 1991 most clearly. It could have begun earlier than that. He early had people surrounding him who were more radical than he was, if you can imagine, who

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

advocated for this kind of territorial organization, new partition, new boundaries, moving populations, and so on. had people around him advocating for that in the late 1980s. So to the extent we can see him putting a plan into place and supporting Serb separatists in Croatia and Bosnia, that was clearly going on by 1991. What types of things was he doing to encourage Serb nationalism? Well, he pitched all issues in society as ones relating to nationalist divisions or ethnic divisions -- I use the terms interchangeably -- and that he began to get people who had not been thinking about nationalist issues to think about things in those terms. And so, for example, economic hardship was increasingly seen as -- he would argue: Why are the Slovenes better off than the Serbs? He also made arguments that were more propagandistic, that were about a Serb attitude of having suffered under the Ottoman Empire for hundreds of years, though the Ottoman Empire ended in the 1920s; and that the presence of Muslims in particular, and especially Albanians within Kosovo, were things that should not be accepted by the Serb nation on cultural and religious grounds; and that the Serbs needed to make history, and that they needed to change their circumstances. So he had control over the television stations, because

1 it was still a relatively communist country, news and media were still in control of the government, and he used these 2 3 channels to make these speeches. He would go places and make speeches. He also began -- so as he began to poison society 4 5 with these ideas, he was not alone in that, but he was a major proponent of it. 6 7 He also began, through the military, to reorganize the military structure, both within the Yugoslav national army, 8 9 and also moving arms around so that the guns would be in the hands increasingly of his loyal supporters in the Serb 10 Democratic Party, the SDS. 11 What kinds of propaganda in particular did --12 13 THE INTERPRETER: Hold on a second. 14 THE COURT: We're switching out interpreters, 15 Dr. Dahlman. 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. 17 THE COURT: All right, are you ready? 18 THE INTERPRETER: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Go ahead. 20 BY MS. SPENCE: What kinds of propaganda did he use, did he put on the 21 22 TV, for example, other than the speeches and whatnot? 23 Well, he and his party -- you have to remember, he had a 24 lot of people helping him with this, but he was in control. 25 The kind of propaganda would be anything from as simple as

saying, "Well, exports are bad, it must be the fault of one of the national groups," this kind of blaming, as simple as that.

But there were also references to historical events, especially during World War II. The major rival to Serbian power within Yugoslavia was Croatia, both in terms of its size and in terms of its economy.

And Croatia, one of the political parties among Croats in World War II had been aligned with the Nazis. They were called the Ustasa, and they were associated with a lot of atrocities. And though that was a small number of the Croatian people, he would — he and others in the Serb nationalist media would refer to Croatians not as Croats but as Ustasa, that they were traitorist, that they couldn't be trusted. These kinds of old crimes were brought up.

Likewise with Muslims, they were associated with a distant Ottoman past. Terms like "balija," and so on, that referred to Muslims in a derogatory way, would be common on state-run television.

Likewise, Muslims would be referred to as "mujahideen," trying to take the language of the sort of more radical Islamic events that you had in the Middle East in the 1970s and '80s, and trying to tag those on to the Muslims in Yugoslavia, who were much more secular and not radical.

So this kind of language and these kinds of historical images would be brought up time and again to sort of try and

1 remind the Serbian people that they had been wronged in the 2 And instead of just referring to particular crimes, he 3 would refer -- and the media would refer to whole groups of people. So it was the creation of kind of a group mentality 4 5 that, "We Serbs have been harmed by 'all' those Croats, or 'all' those Bosniaks or Muslims." 6 7 Did his propaganda include blatant lies and misrepresentations? 8 9 Absolutely. He got his historical facts wrong on more than one occasion, and would oftentimes refer to Serbian epic 10 11 history as sort of a nationalist myth, in the absence of any real substance. 12 13 Were there other sources of the propaganda and 14 nationalist polarization in Bosnia? 15 Well, there was also coming from Croatia -- Franjo Tudman 16 was a very strong Croatian nationalist. They had similar kind 17 of language. They would refer to -- that media, for example, 18 would refer to the Serbians as Chetniks, referring to a group 19 of separatist Serbian monarchists who hadn't really been 20 around in a while; and, again, trying to remind people of 21 atrocities or things that happened in the first Balkan wars of 22 the 1910s. They would also raise questions about the loyalty of Bosniaks within Bosnia. 23 24 There was also a lot of group mentality. I think this is 25 most important thing to understand is that the nationalists

- 1 had to take a society that was multi-ethnic and get people to
- 2 think instead in terms of separate groups, and begin to fear
- 3 each other. So the Croatian media that was broadcast into
- 4 Bosnia, the Serbian media that was broadcast into Bosnia,
- 5 contained quite a bit of this nationalist propaganda.
- 6 Q What was the ethnic makeup of Bosnia in 1991?
- 7 A The population, according to the census of 1991,
- 8 | identified itself about 44 percent Muslim or Bosniak,
- 9 31 percent Serb, 17 percent Croat, and two other categories,
- 10 | 6 percent describe themselves as Yugoslav, and a little more
- 11 than 2 percent describe themselves as other, including at the
- 12 time a population of Jews living in Sarajevo, a number of
- 13 Germans who still lived in the north of the country, and Roma,
- 14 who were commonly referred to in the U.S. as gypsies.
- $15 \mid Q$ When did Bosnia vote to become a sovereign nation?
- 16 A In January 1992, through referendum.
- 17 Q And when did the international community grant
- 18 recognition to independent Bosnia as a nation?
- 19 A The Badinter Commission began to look at the question,
- 20 | and it was really by March of '92 that Germany and other
- 21 | countries began to recognize Bosnia's referendum on
- 22 independence. It was sort of a rolling process.
- 23 | Q And when did the United States announce recognition of
- 24 Bosnia?
- 25 A I would have to look up that detail. I would say roughly

1 the same time. It wasn't quite as important as the European 2 countries recognizing their independence. 3 Would April 7th sound approximately right? It was that spring, it was about that time. 4 5 it was after the war was considered to have officially begun, yes, about a week later. 6 7 What started the physical war, or what group started the physical war in Bosnia? 8 9 Well, the organized military action was carried out by several parties, all associated with the Bosnian Serbs, the 10 11 State of Serbia, which at the time was still Yugoslavia, and the Yugoslav National Army, whose job was supposedly to 12 protect the whole of Yugoslavia and all of the people of 13 14 Yugoslavia. But at that time they were under the command of 15 the central authority in Belgrade, Serbia, and worked on 16 behalf of the Bosnia Serb interests. 17 So there were really three major formations: the Yugoslav National Army that I mentioned; territorial fighters that are 18 19 sort of like our National Guard, that were organized within 20 Bosnia, among Bosnian Serbs; and paramilitary groups that were brought in, oftentimes brought in from outside of Bosnia, to 21 22 do a lot of the killing during the first month of the war. 23 I may have covered this, but I don't think I did, so I 24 want to make sure.

What types of things were done during the 1990, '91 time

25

1 period to build up the Serbian Bosnian -- or the Bosnian Serb 2 Democratic Party? 3 Well, the party was created first to run in the first competitive elections. As the rest of Eastern Europe was 4 5 going, so went Yugoslavia. They were having competitive elections, and so it was a democratic process. And the Serb 6 7 Democratic Party was one of several parties that were organized. 8 9 The parties that did the best happened to be nationalists, because of the reasons I mentioned. 10 There were 11 also other parties, like social democratic parties; there was still a communist party that was running, and so on. The SDS, 12 13 the Serb Democratic Party, during that time, there was financial support coming from the Serb Democratic Party in 14 15 Serbia proper, under Milosevic. 16 At the same time, as 1991 progressed and it appeared that 17 there was going to be some form of conflict, which did in fact 18 begin in Croatia, there was the organization of local militia 19 units among the Bosnian Serbs that would oftentimes include 20 members of the police, people who had been in the local territorial defense, people who had been or still were in the 21 22 Yugoslav National Army. There was some military training. Some of that was 23 24 sponsored and organized by the state police and secret 25 services of the State of Serbia -- the Republic of Serbia, I

should say. There was the reallocation of weapons that had — weapons had been stashed in every part of Yugoslavia during the cold war as part of the territorial defense, and these arms were being reallocated to supporters of the SDS, to militias associated with the SDS.

So much in the same way that in Ireland you had a political party that was Irish nationalist, and then they had a military wing called the IRA, you had a similar kind of organization being formed in 1991 among the Serb Democratic Party, that had politicians but also a military wing.

And I should say that the Croatian party, the HDZ, was doing a similar thing.

And as that got going into 1991, by the end of it, so too was the so-called Bosniak party, the SDA, in Bosnia, beginning to try to gain weapons, though it was -- it came too late to the process to really -- to grab many weapons.

O What was the crisis staff?

A The crisis staff was a division within the SDS that was put together in 1991. It was multi-level in the sense that they had an organization at the top level, all the way down to the local level. And the crisis staffs of the local level were organized in anticipation of conflict; that as things were going to move towards this forcible change in the territory, through the breakaway regions, the Serb breakaway regions, that these local crisis staff were going to help to

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

organize the change and control over the territory, which meant they had to organize the paramilitaries to come in and do the killing, to do the ethnic cleansing. They were there to prepare the way for local Serb rule and to establish new Serb government within the territories that they were claiming. And what direction did the central crisis staff give to the local SDS crisis staff committees? Very clear directions. The main SDS party put together a series of plans and sent out memoranda to the local parties frequently. Some of them are quite telling in the sense that they lay out the plan of how to take over the local municipality, which is the basic local form of government in Bosnia. So it tells the local crisis staff, "If you're in a majority area, organize the Serb majority to vote for these things, and then take over and push out the other ethnic groups that defy you. If you are in an area where you are not a majority, you have to use violence to change the ethnic majority, to gain control politically over the municipality." And when were those explicit directions sent out? Let me check my notes. I've got -- I could tell you six different versions of them, but the ones that were clearest came out -- the ones that were clearest in anticipation for what happened in '92 in Bosnia came out in December of '91, about four months before the war started.

Q What is ethnic cleansing?

A Ethnic cleansing is a strategy to change the population of a territory and, therefore, to change control over that territory. It's a political strategy in the sense that it wants to create a new demographic reality. It's also, as a result — usually requires some kind of military or police action to affect that change.

The most notable part about ethnic cleansing is the forcible expulsion, the forced migration of people from a territory at gunpoint, using violence, using coercion, and forcibly detaining people, putting them on buses, taking them away. It also includes murder and other forms of violence.

- Q And was this part of the strategy set forth to the crisis staffs?
 - A The crisis staffs were aware that the military action that would be taken to establish control over their local areas would include a military action that included all of these armed forces that I mentioned, to control the territory and to expel the, for lack of a better term, unwanted population, to do the ethnic cleansing. And so hiring paramilitaries to come in and do the dirty work was part of the organization of the plan.
- Q Now, you indicated that that was particularly going to be necessary in areas where Serbs were not the majority?
- 25 A Well, exactly, because any kind of -- there's a pretense,

for these things to be democratic, that majority rule. And that if you weren't in the ethnic majority, then you had to change the ethnic majority in order to rule.

And so this was the reason for higher levels of violence being used in places, for example, in Eastern Bosnia where in many municipalities there were more Bosniaks than Serbs. So the ethnic cleansing forced the Bosniaks out, killing many, expelling others, scaring away more, and then allowing the remaining Serbs to establish control over the territory as the new remaining group. They were in the majority.

- Q Was Derventa one of the areas that was previously
 majority Bosniak?
- majority Bosniak?A It was not majority Bosniak. It had roughly -- there was
- no majority in Derventa. It was, like many parts of Bosnia,
- 15 ethnically mixed. The two largest groups there were Serb and
- 16 Croat. There were a smaller number of Muslims, about 8,000, I
- 17 believe, 7,500. I could give you the exact numbers if you
- 18 | would like.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 19 Q That's okay. Were the Serbs the majority?
- 20 A Slightly.
- 21 Q Was Derventa targeted for --
- 22 A A number of Croats and Serbs. Sorry.
- 23 Q Did the Serbian Nationalist Party target Derventa for
- 24 ethnic cleansing?
- 25 A Yes.

How about Doboj? 1 Q 2 Yes. Α 3 Was Doboj majority Serbian before the war? The city proper was not, and that's where the larger 4 Α 5 population was. Again, it was a multi-ethnic locality. What was the Posavina corridor? 6 7 The Posavina corridor -- "Posavina" means the area near the Sava River. The Sava River runs from west to east across 9 the top of Bosnia. It defines the boundary between Bosnia and Croatia. 10 11 As the war was taking place in Croatia and then in Bosnia between '91 and '92, there were really two main areas of 12 13 Serbian control. Serbian forces controlled Serbia proper and Eastern Bosnia. They also had breakaway regions in Western 14 15 Bosnia and parts of Croatia. The connection between these two 16 large areas of Serbian control was a very thin passageway, at 17 times very thin, running just south of the Sava River, in 18 Bosnia. It was the lifeline. It was the connection between 19 these two larger areas. 20 And where are Doboj and Derventa in relationship to this 21 corridor? They're on the western end of this corridor. They're --2.2 Α 23 Doboj especially is a major transportation hub. Derventa is 24 the next largest town to the north. And so they were two of

the towns, along with Brcko to the east, that formed the

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gateway to this passage between the areas of Serbian control. Describe how the attacks on Bosnian cities began at the beginning of the war, when they move in to try to cleanse a targeted area. Well, so there were -- there were two general formats. One of them was the Yugoslav national army would come in, block off the roads around the main town, would use heavy artillery to shell the town and scare the population. The Serb population, if we're talking about these areas at the beginning of the war that were ethnically cleansed by Serbian forces, the Serbian population had been warned to hide or leave town in advance of that. The local militia units would move in to set up checkpoints and take control over the town itself, and then the paramilitaries would come in and do the killing. They usually had a list of individuals who were notable politicians, community leaders, professionals, like doctors and teachers, and so on, and the job of the paramilitary was to target this list because they were the basis for the other community. They were the center of life for the other community. So the idea was to round them up or kill them. Along the way, indiscriminate murder and rape was used to terrorize the population. The remaining individuals would be expelled, forced to leave, put on buses, or what have you.

And then the town, those properties were looted and those

1 properties were then given away in time to Serbs who were 2 displaced from other parts of the country. 3 The other format of the war, as you had in Derventa, was the one that began with military operations, that what 4 5 happened was, in the town of Derventa, it was taken over. There were skirmishes within the town, and there was a 6 7 Croatian proper and Bosnian-Croat joint military effort to try to hold that town, to try to cut off this Posavina corridor 8 9 from the north, and they held on to Derventa. So at first it was a military operation, and they were 10 less concerned about trying to round up the local population. 11 When that situation reversed, in 1992, in June, and by July, 12 really, the Bosnian-Serb forces came into Derventa. And once 13 they controlled it militarily, then they began a round of 14 15 ethnic cleansing that removed most of the non-Serbs from 16 Derventa. 17 What areas of Bosnia had been -- did ethnic cleansing 18 start in, in April of 1992? 19 Most clearly in Eastern Bosnia, from northeastern Bosnia 20 all the way down the Drina River Valley in the east. were also rounds of ethnic cleansing across northern Bosnia. 21 22 In April of '92, towns like Prijedor and Banja Luka there, which are not far from Derventa, had extensive ethnic 23 24 cleansing going on. The towns along the north of Bosnia, near 25 Derventa, had ethnic cleansing going on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Derventa's story came just a few months later, when the Croat forces fell apart, then that's when the ethnic cleansing there happened. But in Doboj it also happened right away, beginning in April, May, and June. Would people in Derventa have been aware by mid April of what was happening in Banja Luka and those other areas? Yes. Yes, the news reports were circulating, it was Α apparent what was going on in other parts of the country. And though there was quite a bit of disbelief and shock, it's precisely that disbelief and shock that caused people to have to take sides. As they saw the war get closer to their own town in each case, they knew what the outcome could be. They understood that the target of the war was not just territorial but to change the population through ethnic cleansing. What was the name of the Bosnian Serb army? Well, the Bosnian Serb army was made up of a number of different units, and at the start of the war, it was hard to distinguish the Yugoslav national army, the local militias, and the paramilitaries. So one of the first things that the Bosnia Serb Republic, Republic of Srpska, as it was declared to exist, this breakaway republic of Serbs in Bosnia, one of the first things they had to do was to formalize the army into the Bosnia Serb army, and that meant absorbing the paramilitary units that they could control. They couldn't control all of them. And it also meant making the impromptu

militia into formal units.

The key thing there is to understand that the Yugoslav national army that had participated in attacking these towns was under -- that Serbia and Milosevic was under pressure to withdraw the national army from Bosnia, as it had been under pressure to remove from Croatia.

What Milosevic did was to basically rename many units of the Yugoslav national army and say, "If you're from Bosnia and you want to fight in Bosnia, you can stay with your equipment. The rest of you have to come home." Well, a large part of the Yugoslav national army just changed its insignia, changed its name and became the VRS, the Vojska Republika Srpske, the army of the Serb Republic. But in many ways, it was made up of these members, units and equipment from the Yugoslav national army, which was heavily equipped local territorial militia units and paramilitaries.

- Q Did or when did the VRS obtain control of the Posavina corridor?
- A It took several months after the start of the war to control it completely. They had moments where they controlled it briefly, but it was firmly established by June, mid, late June of 1992, that the VRS, the Bosnia Serb army had control of the Posavina corridor.
- 24 Q Including Derventa and Doboj?
- 25 A Yes. In fact, that was their major reason for

- 1 counterattacking in Derventa, was to help shore up this
- 2 | western end of that corridor.
- 3 Q At that point in time, approximately how many people in
- 4 Bosnia had died as a result of this war?
- 5 A The first few months of 1992 were the most lethal in the
- 6 war, and by that time, about 45,000 people had died, roughly
- 7 an equal number of civilians and soldiers within that 45,000.
- 8 Q And how did it break down on ethnic lines?
- 9 A On ethnic lines, well, overall for the -- for the four
- 10 | years of the war, 80 percent of -- about 80 percent, maybe
- 11 | 83 percent of the civilian deaths and missing were Bosniaks.
- 12 And that proportion is about correct for the first few months
- 13 of the war as well. Disproportionately, in other words.
- 14 Q The self-declared Republic of Srpska essentially was
- 15 | fighting to maintain political and territorial control of the
- 16 | parts of Bosnia, if I'm understanding this?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Was the Republic of Srpska a recognized, legitimate
- 19 government on the international scene during the war?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q And the war lasted how long?
- 22 A From -- formally, from April '92 until November of '95.
- 23 Q So in 1993, the Republic of Srpska was not a recognized
- 24 governmental entity for Bosnia?
- 25 | A No. The idea that -- they wanted -- they had declared

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

themselves as an independent state, but they were not recognized as such. What was the Doboj Public Security Center? Well, the local security centers within the Serb Republic that we're talking about were really the first attempt at the government organizing control over the very dynamic situation during the war, which is to say that police matters and military matters were all mixed together. The security centers, they also had intelligence units that were former local intelligence units, and also intelligence assistance and security assistance coming in from Serbia. So these local security centers were gathering information, trying to understand what was going on really within a military operation. But like I said, the police were part of the military at that point, and so it was all kind of mixed together within these security centers. And what about the Agency for National Security? Was that a Bosnian entity or Republic of Srpska creation? Each one of the entities created its own security agency and intelligence agency. Basically, they inherited the model that they had from Yugoslavia, which is the one that they knew, and so they each created one. The Bosnian Serb Republic created a security agency to try to, again, put together all of these services to gain some

kind of -- to consolidate territorial and political control

over the situation within the territory that they had 1 2 conquered. Would activities by the national security agency and the 3 Public Safety Center have been part and parcel of the war at 5 that point in time? Yes, absolutely. They were under control by the 6 7 political party. The SDS and the politicians who had taken over the Serb Republic and who had declared this breakaway 8 9 independent country, their actions would be have been part of fighting a war that was, on the one hand, about classic 10 11 military concerns; that was also about trying to identify 12 potential threats to their new state, which at the time meant 13 anybody who was not a loyal Serb. And that's not just Bosniaks and Croats, but that means other Serbs as well fell 14 15 under their scrutiny. It was much like the secret police that 16 had existed in Yugoslavia and other communist countries. 17 Would actions taken by the Public Safety Center or the 18 Agency for National Security in 1993 be official actions of 19 the government of the Bosnian country? 20 No. They would not have been part of the recognized 21 country of Bosnia.

2.2 How much did propaganda play into reports prepared by these agencies at the end of '92 and early '93?

23

24 Well, it's fair to say that the security agency was -well, they were insecure. They were paranoid, and they were 25

picking up information on everything that they thought might 1 2 be important or useful. And part of the use of information 3 was to make further propaganda, to say, "Here are the enemies of the new Serb Republic," but also to try and -- you have to 4 5 understand that the Bosnian Serbs, one of their major battles was a propaganda battle on the international stage. They were 6 7 trying to argue that they were, by right, a conquered territory and should be recognized as an independent state; 8 9 that they had not been the aggressors but in fact the victims. They were trying to play it both ways, and so they needed --10 they were always looking for propagandistic information to 11 say, "Well, here's a Bosniak," or "Here's a Croat," or "Here's 12 13 somebody who tried to do this, " to try and justify their own actions. 14 15 Describe, if you will, the types of war crimes that 16 occurred during the whole of the Bosnian war. 17 Well, I think the best way to do that is to look at which 18 war crimes have actually been convicted, in which there have 19 been convictions, mostly through the ICTY and The Hague. And what we see are violations of most of the major elements of 20 what are called the laws of war. 21 22 So to be brief, that includes indiscriminate killing of civilians during military operations; expulsions and 23 24 deportations; mass rape; torture; imprisonment without 25 justifiable reason or trial; genocide; destruction of

1 property, private property, public property, and cultural 2 heritage; forceable work, like labor camps. These and other 3 forms of discrimination and persecution which might not rise to the level of murder or violence were also part of the kinds 5 of crimes committed in these campaigns to create new countries. 6 7 Specifically with reference to Doboj in April of 1992, what major war crimes were committed there? I think there was 9 a school that had been turned into something? There were -- within that area, based on my 10 Okay. investigations on the ground, the stories I have heard and for 11 12 which I think there is good evidence is we see a pattern much 13 like the rest of Bosnia, in which there were murders, torture, rape camps, again, indiscriminate targeting of civilians, 14 15 destruction of private property as part of the ethnic 16 cleansing campaign. I think a charge of genocide would be a 17 bit hard in the case of Doboj, but you certainly have clear 18 violations of the Geneva Conventions and the articles about crimes against humanity that came out in Nuremberg. These 19 20 were all -- as well as deportations, expulsion, that is to say 21 forced migration, theft. 22 Was there a rape camp in Doboj? 23 There is reported to have been one, yes. And that would 24 have been in keeping with -- it's in keeping with the stories 25 that I've heard during my visits there. It's in keeping with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the strategies that were used in that part of the country at the time. There have not been any clear judgments made about who did that, which is why I'm parsing my words carefully. What was a rape camp? What would they -- how would people get there? Who would be there? What would happen? The rape camps were organized as part of a larger prison system that some individuals who were being ethnically cleansed were expelled, and others were put into prison. reason for them being put into prison were typically one of two things: to keep fighting-aged men from joining the other side, and then to use them in exchange for other prisoners; rape camps, that were basically forms of payment to the paramilitary and the militias that were fighting. In other words, what's been called in other wars "comfort camps." But at the same time, they were organized and run in such a way that they would terrorize the population. And in many cases it has been documented, though there have not been as many judgments, have not reached the end of trial, there have been many documented cases and clear cases where the rape of Bosnian women seemed to be -- the aggressors in these cases, the Bosnian Serb soldiers, were trying to make what they called Serbian babies, which under the rules of genocide we would recognize as trying to change the demographic or racial composition, so to speak, of a population.

By the middle of April of 1992, would informed citizens 1 2 in Derventa be aware that this was occurring elsewhere in 3 Bosnia? During April, the reports were there. By May and June, 4 5 it would have been very clear. The reports were coming out from other parts of Bosnia that this was part of the strategy. 6 7 And importantly, there was a lot of -- there was a lot of information shared among individuals. People would call 8 9 people that they knew, relatives, they would hear about someone else, women would go missing, sons and men would go 10 11 missing. I should say, the international news media began to 12 uncover these kinds of camps and these kinds of conditions, as 13 well as the mass murder and the ethnic cleansing, from the 14 15 outside. So within the first few months of the war, it was 16 very clear to the internationals as well as many people in 17 Bosnia what was going on. 18 What brought the war to an end? 19 I'm sorry, can you repeat that? 20 Yes. What brought the war to an end? Well, the Bosnian Serb forces couldn't really control the 21 22 territory that they had anymore. Croatia began to arm, and 23 Croatia pushed the separatists in Croatia out and entered 24 Bosnia.

At that point, Milosevic and the Bosnian Serb leadership,

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

including Radovan Karadzic and General Mladic were both in The Haque right now. They realized that they weren't able to hold on to what they had, and so they went to the negotiating table; by that I mean the Dayton Peace Accords that came about in November of 1995. And that basically allowed them to keep the Posavina corridor? It did. There were very intense negotiations at the Dayton Accords, and most of those negotiations had to do with holding on to enough territory. The Posavina corridor, what the Bosnian Serb leadership often referred to as the "corridor of life" or the "umbilical cord" of the Bosnian Serb nation, they argued that without it they could not exist, that they would be facing genocide, in their terms, and that they would do anything to protect it. And I should point out that control over Doboj and control over Brcko on the other end of the corridor were the two reasons why the Dayton Peace Accords almost collapsed on many occasions. In fact, they could never come to an agreement on Brcko, and so they put the issue aside for further arbitration. That corridor and that territory was strategically the most important territory for the Bosnian Serb entity as it was created at Dayton. There's been allegations that there were horrible war crimes committed by everybody, all the parties in this

dispute, during the war. Evaluate that assessment based on your research and knowledge of the area.

A That assessment is sort of a summary that equivocates far too much. We have to remember that this idea of equivocation of all sides being equally guilty of starting the war, equally guilty of atrocities during the war, and so on, was created by the Serbian and Bosnian Serb leadership to hide that they were the clear aggressors at the start of this war.

So if we look at the facts, if we look at the evidence, and if we look at the cases thus far, the only organized plan that we can see, the only plan for organized violence and ethnic cleansing, to begin with started with the breakaway Bosnian Serb armed forces, the Bosnian Serb army, and the Croatian Serb Army, such as they became; that they had a plan to conquer territory through these forms of violence. That much is clear. And that, by and large, accounts for most of the atrocities that are known to have existed within the war. And if we look at the number of killed and missing, we see clearly the targets of the Bosnian Serbs were the ones who are disproportionately harmed by the war.

I should say that it's also the case that the Croatian forces occasionally engaged in activities that have been described as war crimes, and they've been convicted as such, though these tended to be smaller in scope and not part of the larger campaign.

assessment is that that equivocates far too much.

2.2

And the Bosnian army proper that was still trying to protect Bosnia as an integrated country also occasionally engaged in activities that were criminal in the sense of the war crimes, and they've been convicted of such.

But, again, this was not the overall strategy or the plan of the government in order to conquer territory. So my

- Q You mentioned the Croatian military that also committed some atrocities. Was the HVO the Croatian military?
- A The HVO was the Bosnian Croat militia. And they need to be understood as a distinct set of military units, distinct from the Croatian army proper.

The Croatian army proper entered in at the beginning of the war and at various points in the war and were decisive in bringing the war to a conclusion by invading Bosnia. And they oftentimes worked with their Bosnian Croat counterparts, supplied them with material and expertise and so on during the war. But that's the distinction of the battle among the Croat forces.

- Q And at the beginning of the war, were Bosnian forces and Bosnian Croatian forces working together?
- A Yes. That's an important part of the story. But Bosnian forces, meaning the government, the army of Bosnia, which I should point out claim to represent all Bosnians regardless of ethnicity or national identity, and was struggling to keep all

of Bosnia together, to keep these breakaway regions from leaving the country.

Nonetheless, the army was largely abandoned by the Croatian units and, of course, the Serbian units. So at the beginning of the war, it was really a Serb army -- Bosnian Serb army campaign to conquer territory, and against that were these various forces that roughly identified as government, as local in many cases, without an ethnic identity, just a local defense force, and Croat, as well as some Croatian units at the beginning of the war that came in to try to stop the Bosnian Serb army. That changed.

Q Yes. At the beginning of the war in Derventa, would the HVO unit there be considered the local government military unit?

A They would be the local defenders to the extent that — to the extent that any of these guys locally were really looking for official credentials. Mostly what they saw was the coming of the Bosnian Serb army, and they were going to protect their town against what they had already seen happen in Croatia. Remembering that everybody in Bosnia had already seen the ethnic cleansing in Croatia, had seen the breakaway regions, and they knew what had happened there.

So the local unit in Derventa, like many places in central Bosnia, consisted of Croats and Bosniaks, and even some Serbs, who thought the defense of their town was more

1 important than the nationalist causes of the Croat army.

- 2 Q And when during the war did the Bosnian Croats become
- 3 hostile towards the Bosniaks?
- 4 A Really, by the end of 1992, this new front in the war had
- 5 opened up between the Croats and the Bosniaks. It was clear
- 6 that the war was going to last awhile, and Croatia was
- 7 increasingly looking to also carve up Bosnia. So as a result,
- 8 the government of Bosnia, representing at that point then
- 9 really just the Bosniaks and some other loyal citizens of
- 10 different ethnicities, was really alone in the campaign, and
- 11 so then it became a three-sided war by January of '93. And
- 12 that lasted for about a year and a half until the U.S.
- 13 government formed an alliance between the Croats and the
- 14 | Bosniaks and the government of Bosnia to form a united front
- 15 against the Serbs.
- 16 Q And final question, who represented the Bosnian Serb
- 17 | nationalists at the Dayton conference?
- 18 A Well, because by that point the Bosnian Serb leadership
- 19 were wanted on criminal charges for war crimes, they were
- 20 | represented by Slobodan Milosevic of Serbia proper.
- 21 Q Who had been successful for a while in concealing his
- 22 involvement from the beginning?
- 23 A Yeah. Charges against him were revealed later.
- 24 And I should say, on the Croat side, they were
- 25 | represented by Franjo Tudman, the president of Croatia.

```
Thank you. That's all the questions I
1
            MS. SPENCE:
2
   have.
 3
            THE COURT: Thank you very much.
            Mr. Heaphy, how long do you expect to be? Do you
 4
5
   want to take a five-minute break?
6
            MR. HEAPHY: Yeah, if that's all right, Your Honor,
7
   now would be a good time for me.
8
            THE COURT: Why don't we stand in recess until 11:25.
9
         (Recess taken from 11:14 a.m. until 11:32 a.m.)
            THE COURT: All right, we're back on the record.
10
11
            Are you ready to proceed, Mr. Heaphy?
12
            MR. HEAPHY: Yes, Your Honor, I am.
13
            THE COURT: I'm told by the interpreter, if you could
   kind of turn towards her so she could be able to pick up your
14
15
   voice.
16
            THE INTERPRETER: Just slightly.
17
            MR. HEAPHY: Certainly, Your Honor.
18
            THE COURT: And you might want to slow down half a
19
   hair.
            MR. HEAPHY: I've heard that before.
20
            MS. SPENCE: One brief housekeeping.
21
2.2
            THE COURT:
                       Yes.
23
            MS. SPENCE: In case I did not move the CV into
24
   evidence, I would do so at this time.
25
            THE COURT: Very well. I think we've already
```

```
1
   introduced it as Defense Exhibit Number 1.
 2
            Mr. Heaphy, go ahead.
 3
            MR. HEAPHY: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
                          CROSS-EXAMINATION
 4
5
   BY MR. HEAPHY:
        Dr. Dahlman, good morning. Still morning.
 6
 7
        Good morning.
   Α
        Can you see me and hear me okay?
8
9
        Yes. You?
   Α
10
        I can. Thank you.
11
        My name is Tim Heaphy and I'm the United States attorney.
   And I just have a few brief questions for you.
12
13
        Certainly.
   Α
        First let me just clarify, sir, that you do not have any
14
15
   specific familiarity with the allegations at issue in this
16
   particular proceeding, the allegations --
17
        I'm sorry, could you repeat that again? You trailed off.
18
        I'm sorry. My question is whether you have any specific
19
   familiarity with, personal knowledge of the allegations
20
   involved in this extradition proceeding.
        Nothing other than what I've read in the statements that
21
22
   were provided in the complaint.
23
        Okay. So you've read those documents, but have no other
24
   personal knowledge based on your travels or your study about
25
   these particular allegations?
```

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q Okay. I want to ask you a little bit about geography,
- 3 Mr. Dahlman. I know that's your specialty.
- I think you testified in response to Ms. Spence's
- 5 questions that the country of Bosnia-Herzegovina declared its
- 6 independence or had a referendum which declared its
- 7 independence in January of 1992; is that right?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q That's when the country of Bosnia --
- 10 A Yes.
- $11 \mid Q$ -- that exists to this day came into existence as a
- 12 | sovereign nation?
- 13 A I'm sorry, our connections are breaking up. Could you
- 14 repeat that question?
- $15 \mid Q$ Yes. I'm just clarifying that that entity that was
- 16 created in January of 1992 is the same country, Bosnia, that
- 17 | continues to exist today and recognized by our government?
- 18 A Correct. Bosnia-Herzegovina continues as the recognized
- 19 successor portion of Yugoslavia.
- 20 | Q Okay. And within Bosnia-Herzegovina there are certain
- 21 | states, or republics, is that right, portions of the country,
- 22 | sort of like our state government systems?
- 23 A Again, I'm having interference on the line. Could you
- 24 repeat that again?
- 25 Q Yes. I'm sorry, Dr. Dahlman. That's probably our

1 equipment here. 2 Is it so, sir, that within Bosnia-Herzegovina there are 3 certain smaller regions or subgroups that have government entities? 4 5 Currently, you mean? 6 Since 1992. 7 Since -- during the course of the war, there were several declared subareas within Bosnia. The two main ones, or the 9 three main ones during the war were the Bosnian Serb Republic that they declared in response to Bosnia's declaration of 10 11 independence from Yugoslavia. These are the breakaway regions that I was referring to previously. 12 13 There was also then the government of Bosnia's assertion to the entire republic, which is also the state that remains 14 15 today. 16 And then there was also a region that was declared to be 17 HercegBosna, the Croatian or Croat portion of Bosnia that they 18 declared during the years of '92 to '94 -- really, '93/'94, 19 when they were fighting against the other two sides as well. 20 Okay. I understand. And today in Bosnia there still remains -- there remains 21 22 a Republic of Srpska within the larger government of Bosnia-Herzegovina; is that correct? 23 24 Correct. Let me make clear, the Dayton Peace Accord in a 25 way recognized this breakaway Bosnian Republika Srpska as one

1 of the two entities, and gave it -- gave each of the entities 2 within Bosnia -- so Bosnia is divided into these two areas; 3 one is this Republika Srpska. And these two entities have many state-like, that is to say national government-like 4 5 powers. The government of Bosnia-Herzegovina as a whole is something of a thin umbrella over both of these two entities. 6 7 The other entity, the federation, is made up of the government Bosniak areas and the Croat areas. 8 9 I see. So the Dayton Peace Accord essentially recognized a couple of those subentities within the umbrella as official 10 11 states of or portions of the official government of Bosnia-Herzegovina? 12 13 It created this new thing, the entity, as some smaller -something lower than a state but bigger than a locality. 14 15 And do those lower entities have their own processes, 16 systems of government, kind of like a state government in this 17 country? 18 And in fact, for several years they even claimed to 19 have separate forms of citizenship. 20 And some of that, sir, went back to 1992. You testified 21 in response to Ms. Spence that those entities, particularly 2.2 the Republic of Srpska, did exist and even claimed sovereignty as far back as the conflict? 23 24 Republika Srpska declared itself independent and declared

that it was sovereign, though it was not internationally

25

- 1 recognized as such.
- 2 Q Okay. The territory of Doboj that you talked about is
- 3 | within the Republic of Srpska; is that right?
- 4 A Most of that which was before the war, the municipality
- 5 of Doboj, is now in Republika Srpska, including, most
- 6 importantly, the city.
- 7 Q And back then it was part of that region which declared
- 8 itself independent, the Republic of Srpska, correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 | Q And now, within the currently existing Bosnian
- 11 | government, it is in that carved-out, recognized-by-Dayton
- 12 territory of Srpska, but also part of the larger government of
- 13 | Bosnia-Herzegovina?
- 14 A Right. It's within the entity of Republika Srpska. And
- 15 of course, the Republika Srpska is within the whole of
- 16 | Bosnia-Herzegovina.
- 17 Q All right. Sir, you testified in response to
- 18 | Ms. Spence's questions about a series of conflicts that
- 19 occurred during the war, primarily perpetrated -- offenses
- 20 perpetrated by the Serbs, correct?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 | Q The vast majority, I believe, if I could summarize your
- 23 | testimony, of the ethnic cleansing, the war crimes were
- 24 | committed by Serbs?
- 25 A By Serb armed forces. I want to be clear here that I'm

- just talking about the military units, because many civilians
 had no part to play in the war.
 - Q You're anticipating my next question.
 - So there were Serb military units that actually connected these awful crimes which you've described before, and then there were regular Serbs that had nothing to do with that, correct?
- 8 A Correct.

between the sides.

3

4

5

6

7

14

- 9 Q It's not as if every Serb or any person of any ethnicity
 10 was actively engaged in these hostilities?
- A What we know is that not every person was engaged in the hostilities. The situation at the time was that no one was sure just how much of a mass mobilization there would be
- Q Now, I believe you testified in response to Ms. Spence's questions that there was a period of time when there was there were skirmishes in Derventa that were between a joint military effort to hold the town and the Serbs that were
- trying to conduct the pattern of ethnic cleansing as you've described?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And, sir, were there roundups of Serbs and crimes committed by that force, the joint military effort, in response to or in anticipation of those Serb offenses?
- 25 A Well, I have to be very clear about where my sources of

- 1 information are. When I was there and spoke to people in
- 2 Derventa, I had an extensive tour there by an international
- 3 community member and spoke to many people who had been
- 4 displaced from their home in the town, or who had returned to
- 5 villages, or attempted to return; it was clear that roundups
- 6 and imprisonment were a part of the military operations by
- 7 both the Croatian forces in April, May, and June, and by the
- 8 Serb forces in June, July, and afterwards.
- 9 Q Okay. So, sir, again, to summarize, correct me if I'm
- 10 wrong, fair to say that in that initial battle for control of
- 11 that community, there were roundups and crimes committed
- 12 really by both sides that were fighting with each other for
- 13 | such control?
- 14 A As far as I had heard, yes.
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 A Though I have to say the evidence has not been
- 17 | forthcoming because there have not been any sustained
- 18 convictions on this basis.
- 19 Q Now, at some point there was an international tribunal
- 20 | created in part to resolve whether or not there were war
- 21 crimes committed during the Bosnian war, correct?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 | Q I believe you told Ms. Spence that was called the
- 24 International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia?
- 25 A That is the short name for the ICTY, yes.

- 1 Q The ICTY. And part of the mandate of the ICTY was to
- 2 review allegations of war crimes and either prosecute them in
- 3 the international tribunal or serve as a gatekeeper for
- 4 prosecutions by the local governments within that region; is
- 5 | that right?
- 6 A That was the -- that was the process, yes, either to try
- 7 them in The Hague, at the ICTY, or to allow them to be
- 8 prosecuted within one of the countries of the former
- 9 Yuqoslavia.
- 10 Q And as a matter of fact, in order for one of the
- 11 countries of the former Yugoslavia to independently conduct a
- 12 war crimes prosecution, that prosecution, the evidence
- 13 supporting that prosecution has to be screened first by the
- 14 | international tribunal; isn't that correct?
- 15 A That was the case for many years, though the ICTY no
- 16 longer does that.
- 17 Q I see. But during the period of time 2003, for
- 18 example --
- 19 A Right.
- 20 Q -- that was the law, that in order for the Bosnian
- 21 government to initiate a war crimes prosecution, it had to
- 22 have been first reviewed by the ICTY?
- 23 A Yes, specifically by the prosecutor at the ICTY.
- 24 Q And the ICTY prosecutor paid particular attention to
- 25 whether or not a particular war crimes allegation was a

- 1 political offense or was motivated by politics, correct? That
- 2 was one of the factors considered by the ICTY?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q Now, sir, you testified, I believe, that about the Geneva
- 5 | Conventions criminalizing torture; is that right?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q The Geneva Conventions have been in effect since the
- 8 1940s; isn't that right?
- 9 A 1949, yes.
- 10 Q We're going to change interpreters, Dr. Dahlman. Hold on
- 11 one second.
- 12 A Sure.
- 13 Q So in 1949, the Geneva Conventions, which have been
- 14 adopted by both the former Yugoslavia and those nations and
- 15 the United States, made torture criminal under international
- 16 law, correct?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q And that applies, the Geneva Conventions specifically
- 19 apply, do they not, in time of war?
- 20 A And that's the key point, is that these are -- the ICTY
- 21 only covers and the war crimes prosecution in Bosnia only
- 22 covers acts that were conducted as part of a war.
- 23 Q So acts proscribed during war by the Geneva Conventions
- 24 include torture, the torture of civilians --
- 25 A Yes.

1 -- based on some claim of authority or ethnic 2 persecution? 3 Correct. Α And just to be clear, that would be a violation of 4 5 international law, despite the existence of a conflict from 1949 to the present day? 6 7 If it was conducted as part of a military campaign or military organization, it would not cover individuals --9 torturing individuals who were not part of a military 10 campaign. 11 I see. Okay. 12 Now, you talked at the very beginning of your testimony a 13 little bit about the different ethnic groups within the former Yugoslavia. One of which you talked about was the Serbs, who 14 15 were primarily Orthodox. In terms of their religious 16 affiliation, they're primarily Orthodox; is that right? 17 Nominally, in the sense that that is how the group 18 understands their identity. Whether or not they actually go 19 to church every week is a whole other question. 20 I understand. Are you familiar with a particular symbol, a three-fingered symbol, that Orthodox, Serb Orthodox, sort of 21 2.2 use or show as a religious significance, either while praying 23 or as a symbol of religious belief? 24 I'm familiar with that symbol, yes.

25 Q Can you show it to us? I think we can all see you. If

- Dahlman Cross you can, with your hand, show us the symbol. 1 The Chetnik salute. 2 (Indicating.) 3 Dr. Dahlman is holding up his hand extended, with his thumb, index, and middle finger. 4 5 And tell us, if you can, sir, what is the significance of the three fingers? What do they symbolize? 6 7 Well, they are a variation on the three fingers that are used in signing oneself. But this is religious. 8 9 (Indicating.) This is nationalist politics. (Indicating.) I see. So if a Serb holds up the three-fingered symbol, 10 11 it's a religious symbol, it's a nationalistic symbol, it's a symbol of national significance? 12 It would be typically understood that way, yes. 13 And what is the significance of the number three or of 14 15 the three fingers as opposed to four or five? 16 The tripartite God, the three points at the top of the 17 cross, and so on. I don't think there's an official explanation of it, it's a cultural understanding of it. 18 19 And that goes back, sir, before the Bosnian conflict, 20 this three-fingered symbol? Yes. In fact, the political use of that appears to go 21 22 back into the early 20th century. MR. HEAPHY: Your Honor, just briefly, if I can have 23
- 25 Dr. Dahlman, I don't have any other questions for

24

the Court's indulgence.

1 you. Thank you, sir. 2 THE COURT: Dr. Dahlman, let me ask just a couple of 3 questions to make sure I'm clear on a couple of things. THE WITNESS: Certainly. 4 5 THE COURT: With respect to the Republic of Srpska, you indicated that it declared its independence in early 1992; 6 7 is that right? THE WITNESS: That's correct. In fact, they had 8 9 begun to organize their independence in late 1991, but it was formalized in the political act in 1992. 10 11 THE COURT: How did they formalize their independence? 12 13 THE WITNESS: They made declarations of their independence; they named people in the positions of authority, 14 15 such as president, vice president, and so on; they established 16 over time a -- they didn't have elections, but sort of a house 17 of representatives, a kind of body of delegates mostly made up 18 of members of the Serb Democratic Party; they tried to take greater control over the military units that were on their 19 20 territory, establish security centers, and promulgated and made decrees to that effect. 21 22 THE COURT: And what relationship, if any, did it 23 have with the independent state of Bosnia that formed in 24 January of '92, that was recognized by the international 25 community?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Well, the Republika Srpska existed on the territory of Bosnia-Herzegovina, and so its declaration of independence was to say that they were seceding from Bosnia, that they were breaking away and they were going to take the territory with them. THE COURT: And what did the Dayton Accords do with respect to the Republic of Srpska? THE WITNESS: Well, I have to say the somewhat perverse effect of the Dayton Peace Accord was to give some recognition that this breakaway entity would form part of the formal governance structure of post-war Bosnia-Herzegovina. THE COURT: And what effect did the Dayton Accords have on any action that was taken -- any political action, state it that way, that was taken by the Republic of Srpska from when it declared its independence in '92 up until the Dayton Accord? THE WITNESS: Well, the Dayton Peace Accord -- if I understand your question correctly, it's what did the peace accord do about any actions that occurred from '92 until the Dayton Peace Accord? THE COURT: Right. You stated it better than I did. That's exactly right. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the Dayton Peace Accord also provided for a number of provisions to address the war itself. One of those was, of course, that war crimes

conducted during that time would need to be prosecuted.

However, the war itself, if you want to think about the attempt to politically create and to defend these territories, was not necessarily criminalized, only violations of these major treaties that occurred at that time. And those would have to go off to The Hague, and in time they could also be prosecuted in Bosnia, as it turned out.

So it didn't necessarily absolve anybody of what happened during the war, except to say that the general crime of aggression that sometimes exists in international law would not be held against the Republika Srpska in trying to establish its independence.

THE COURT: Well, what effect did it have with respect to any prosecutions that were begun before the Dayton Peace Accord by the Republic of Srpska?

THE WITNESS: It left those in something of a limbo. And I think a huge part of what the courts have had to deal with in Bosnia is, first of all, gaining competency, removing themselves from the Communist system, in which they were a part of the political system, as the justices and prosecutors in 1992 through 1995 were still very much a part of that old system. And so they had — there's been a lot of time and attention and money spent to reform the court system, to establish a truly independent judiciary, which means that any crimes that were alleged during that time would have to be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dahlman - Cross looked at very carefully in the postwar scene to make sure that they were up to the standards expected of the postwar Bosnian government and its courts. Does that make sense? THE COURT: It does. And I appreciate that. But did the postwar Bosnian government and the Dayton Accord recognize any prosecutions that were begun through the Republic of Srpska during -- or before the Dayton Peace Accords came into effect? That was '92 to '95. THE WITNESS: No, I'm thinking through a very long treaty text here, and I think the Dayton Peace Accords may be relatively mute about the merit of any individual case, except to say that the law that existed in Bosnia was the republic law that it had during the socialist period, and that any laws passed after that date would have to come under the scrutiny of the new constitution established by Dayton, because Dayton also established a new constitution. So maybe I can give you a bit of a hypothetical about where this becomes problematic. A crime that might have been

committed in 1992 could have been prosecuted under the old Yugoslav socialist law, which has legitimacy in the eyes of the international community, unless it's replaced by subsequent constitutional law after '95.

However, in 1992, the Serb Republic began passing its own laws, and the status and the qualifications of those laws

have had to come under scrutiny. That is to say wartime law has had to come under the scrutiny of the court established after 1995, to make sure that it's in keeping with the constitution. And in many cases it has not been in keeping with the constitution established at Dayton.

THE COURT: All right.

2.2

THE WITNESS: I apologize that it gets so complex.

THE COURT: No, I appreciate that.

Let me shift to a couple questions that Mr. Heaphy asked with respect to the Geneva Conventions and war crimes committed as part of an act of war.

Is it my understanding that the actions that were taken and were classified as war crimes, were classified as war crimes during the early part of the war in '92? Is that correct? The actions specifically as referenced by Ms. Spence in many of her questions about what occurred in Bosnia, and specifically in Doboj and Derventa.

THE WITNESS: I see. The statute of the ICTY applies the articles of the different conventions, and it specifically establishes a beginning date, in terms of the jurisdiction of the court, 1991. So it's only interested in events relating to the conflict in the former Yugoslavia since 1991.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I think my last question is, it is my understanding that this three-fingered salute that you talked to Mr. Heaphy about, is it solely a

```
1
   nationalistic or is it a nationalistic and a religious symbol?
            THE WITNESS: Well, the two variants that I
2
3
   understand -- as I said, one is to cross oneself like this,
   with the fingers closed. (Indicating.) The nationalist
 4
   salute turns it this way. (Indicating.) And there is
5
   something of a culture of rather finessed hand gestures, as
6
7
   there are in many cultures, in the former Yugoslavia. And
8
   that nationalist Croatians from the World War II period had a
9
   symbol that was like this, that also looked similar to the
10
   religious symbol --
11
            THE COURT: And you're holding your index and middle
12
   finger in that regard, correct?
13
            THE WITNESS: Two fingers, yes.
            And that somewhat nationalistic Bosniak and Bosnians
14
15
   today, we use a five-fingered salute like this. (Indicating.)
16
            THE COURT: And you're holding up your open hand.
17
            All right, that's all the questions I have.
18
   you, Dr. Dahlman.
19
            Ms. Spence, do you have any follow-up?
20
            MS. SPENCE: Yes, I do.
21
            THE COURT: All right.
22
            THE WITNESS: Would you be able to move the camera
   back to the lectern, please? Thank you.
23
24
                        REDIRECT EXAMINATION
25
   BY MS. SPENCE:
```

1 After Dayton, could the state Republic of Srpska 2 independently, without the approval of Bosnia as a whole, 3 prosecute a war crime? No. 4 Α So anything that had been done by the Republic of Srpska 5 wouldn't be sufficient to start a Bosnian prosecution; is that 6 7 correct? Anything that had been done by Republic of Srpska before Dayton would not be sufficient to start a Bosnian 8 9 prosecution? Any charge of war crimes by Republika Srpska authorities 10 11 would not be sufficient to start a local case. They would not have the authority. It was taken over by the ICTY; it would 12 have to go through their permission, until that law changed 13 14 about eight years ago. 15 Now, you indicated that the ICTY screened the cases before allowing local prosecution to proceed? 16 17 Α Correct. Were they specifically investigating to see if things 18 19 were politically motivated, or just checking to make sure 20 there was real evidence to reduce the risk of politically 21 motivated prosecutions? 22 Part of their responsibility was to make sure that Α Both. cases that had a major bearing on establishing factual 23 24 evidence or handling large crimes, that they should stay at

The Hague and not be tried locally. It was also a situation

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of wanting to make sure that the substance and evidence was sufficient and that it was not politically motivated coming from Bosnia. On the cases that they authorized just for local prosecution, they actually independently investigated them, or just relied on the submissions from the prosecutor? I'm thinking through the cases that I know. They have -- the ICTY has had extensive investigations within Bosnia, and whether they would send separate investigators for each case or whether they would rely upon their underlying knowledge of the events in different parts of the country in which they have extensive evidence already -- I couldn't say exactly what they do, but I know in several cases that they would look specifically at the evidence to see if it passed a basic test that they have, but I don't know exactly what that test would be. And if I understand the memoirs of the prosecutor, that test changed over time. Becoming less stringent over time? This being the first time that a major war crimes trial institution like this had been created, there was a lot of --I don't want to say legal innovation, but there was a lot of new territory to figure out exactly what best practices should I don't know whether they became more or less stringent. The prosecutor's office was sometimes seen as highly politicized itself, in the sense that there was a lot of

1 international legitimacy placed on the court, and that this 2 played into the diplomatic regulations and the peacekeeping 3 efforts back in the region. With the screening process, if the ICTY declined 4 5 prosecution but gave the green light for the locality to go forward, did that mean they had made any determination --6 7 No. Α -- of quilt or innocence? 8 9 Α No. So to make sure I'm clear, any actions taken by the 10 11 self-declared entity of the Republic of Srpska between '92 and Dayton in November of '95 would not be official acts of the 12 13 state of Bosnia? 14 Α Correct. 15 And only the state of Bosnia has the authority to 16 prosecute war crimes post Dayton? 17 And specifically, only the war crimes chamber and the office of the prosecutor, established some years after Dayton, 18 19 when the international community deemed that they were -- they 20 had a sufficiently independent judiciary, that they had a 21 sufficiently competent set of state prosecutors, and that they 22 could -- that they could ultimately handle these cases and 23 carry out justice in a meaningful way. 24 MS. SPENCE: Thank you. 25 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Spence.

Dahlman - Recross

1 Mr. Heaphy, does that prompt any additional 2 questions? 3 MR. HEAPHY: That last area does, Your Honor. RECROSS-EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MR. HEAPHY: Dr. Dahlman, hello again. 6 7 So when Dayton occurred, it was aware that -- the folks 8 that crafted the Dayton Accords were aware that there were 9 allegations from the war about all kinds of terrible atrocities that occurred on both sides; isn't that right? 10 11 That was an explicit part of the discussion with respect to the Dayton Accords? 12 13 And in fact, the Dayton Accords have been criticized for being intentionally blind to the allegations, choosing 14 15 instead, in the words of Holbrook, the ambassador, the U.S. 16 ambassador that helped to negotiate it, it was just to end the war; it was not meant to carry out justice. 17 18 But rather than provide an amnesty, for example, the 19 Dayton Accords contemplated a process, international process, 20 by which these preexisting allegations of war crimes would be evaluated and adjudicated by an impartial group of folks? 21 2.2 Well, in fact, it already had the ICTY, which had been 23 established in '93, in the course of the war, precisely 24 because each of the politicians was claiming that it was the other side carrying out the atrocities. So the ICTY was 25

Dahlman - Recross

already established at that point, and Dayton had merely to say these things shall be sorted out there.

Q Exactly. So Dayton said, "All these allegations of war crimes, we're going to send them to the ICTY, and we're going to let that body that is international and legitimate sort them out." It was not for stuff that was going to occur in the future; it was explicitly contemplating stuff that had occurred in the past?

A It had to, because there were so many allegations and politically motivated allegations and allegations that were known to be false or claimed to be false, that that would have impeded any kind of peace negotiation. And so they knew that The Hague would get to the bottom of whether a particular charge was sufficient or not.

But at that time, I don't know of anybody ever imagining that Bosnia would be able to prosecute war crimes trials in Bosnia.

Q But it was an important part of ending the war, that these war crimes allegations were going to be resolved or handled by some authority?

A Correct.

Q They were not just essentially wiped clean: "We're not going to worry about that, we're only going to look forward"?

A Correct. So that they would not be -- so that they would

not continue to be the grist of nationalist politicking and

Dahlman - Further Redirect

```
1
   conflict within Bosnia.
 2
            MR. HEAPHY: Yeah. Okay. That's all I have.
 3
            THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Heaphy.
            MS. SPENCE: I'm sorry.
 4
 5
            THE COURT: It's your witness; you can close,
   Ms. Spence.
6
7
                    FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
8
   BY MS. SPENCE:
9
        So after November of 1995, at any time Bosnia could have
   begun a prosecution as the state of Bosnia for war crimes?
10
11
        No. It was not until they had a clear establishment of
   Α
12
   the war crimes court in Bosnia, which I want to say started in
13
   maybe as early as '03, '04, was when that capacity came into
   formation. So for several years after '95, Bosnia had no
14
15
   capacity or authority to try war crimes.
16
       Did they have the capacity to initiate review at the
17
   ICTY, to ask something be investigated?
18
        Yes, I think they could. In fact, they were obligated to
   Α
19
   hand those cases and that information over to ICTY
20
   investigators.
        As of November 1995?
21
2.2
   Α
        Yes.
23
            MS. SPENCE: Thank you.
24
            THE COURT: All right. Dr. Dahlman, thank you very
25
   much.
```

```
What do we need to do?
 1
 2
            THE CLERK: Just need to disconnect.
 3
            THE COURT: We're going to disconnect you.
            Are we done with Dr. Dahlman?
 4
 5
            MR. HEAPHY: Yes.
 6
            THE COURT: Thank you very much, Dr. Dahlman.
 7
   appreciate your time. We appreciate you participating in
   today's events.
8
9
            THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
10
            THE COURT: Thank you.
11
            All right, Ms. Spence, call your next witness.
12
            MS. SPENCE: I call Alan Pelesic.
13
            THE COURT: Will he need the assistance of an
14
   interpreter?
15
            MS. SPENCE: I don't believe so.
            THE COURT: All right. Come on over here, sir, and
16
17
   step up and be sworn.
18
            THE WITNESS: Where would you like me?
19
            THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.
          ALAN PELESIC, WITNESS FOR ALMAZ NEZIROVIC, SWORN
20
            THE WITNESS: Good morning, Your Honor.
21
22
            THE COURT: Good morning. If you could state your
   full name and spell it slowly and completely so we can get it
23
24
   on the record.
25
            THE WITNESS: My name is Alan Pelesic, A-L-A-N,
```

```
1
   P-E-L-E-S-I-C.
 2
             THE COURT:
                        Thank you very much.
 3
            All right, Ms. Spence.
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
 4
5
   BY MS. SPENCE:
6
        Good morning. Where do you live now?
 7
        I live in Utica, New York.
   Α
8
        How long have you lived there?
   Q
9
        A little over 14 years.
   Α
10
        How old were you when you moved to New York?
11
   Α
        I was 24 years old.
12
        Where were you born?
   Q
13
        I was born in Derventa, Bosnia, in Herzegovina.
   Α
        Are you now a United States citizen?
14
15
   Α
        Yes, I am.
16
        I want to turn your attention to 1992. How old were you
17
   then?
18
   Α
        In 1992, I was 18 years old.
19
   Q
        What section of Derventa did you live in at that time?
20
   Α
        I lived in the area called Cardak, referred to as Cardak.
        Now, what were the military obligations, if any, of
21
   citizens in Bosnia at that time?
2.2
23
        Every able-bodied male age 18 through 55 was obligated to
24
   be, basically, part of Yugoslavia National Army Reserves,
25
   meaning that at the age of 18, they were obligated to serve
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

one year in the military, obtain training; and then any period of time between then and the age of 55, they could have been called by the Yugoslavia National Army to protect the country. They were also obligated to obtain training by the army periodically; sometimes every year, sometimes every couple of years, they were called in to perform military duties, basically to stay current on military training. And did everybody always get called for this military training, or did it appear selective? In the times -- during the times prior to the -- to the 1991, everybody did. In the time when 1992 approached, or 1991/1992, it appeared that mostly the Serbian nationality people were called in; more than anybody else, they were called in. And upon their return, immediately prior to 1992, for the first time it was noticed that they were returning to homes, bringing back their weapons issued by the army, and they were able to keep them at home. Did there come a time when you observed some behavior in your neighborhood, Cardak, that was different from before? Traditionally, Cardak was a very peaceful neighborhood regardless of nationality or origin. Everybody who lived there, everybody was treated equally, very peaceful. Towards the end of 1991 and beginning of 1992, people who lived on the area of Cardak that were like Serbian origin or nationality, they started to kind of act like more -- they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

started excluding people of other nationality out of their activities. It seems like there have been meetings taking places that everybody who was not of Serbian nationality was not welcome to attend. And we also noticed other changes towards the 1992, like period of -- the end of March and beginning of April of 1992. Serbian nationality, our neighbors, sent their wives and their children outside of the area for some reason. It was felt that they're basically -- in anticipation of problems, they wanted their families to be safe, so they sent them outside of the area of Cardak and outside of the area of Derventa. Were the Bosniak or Croatian residents sending their kids and families away at that time? Some people anticipated problems. Based on their knowledge of what was going on in the country, from the news and TV, people anticipated there is a possibility that there could be problems in the immediate area where we lived. some people did, on a smaller -- on a smaller scale than the Serbs in the neighborhood. What else did you start noticing in April of '92? I noticed that the trucks of the Yugoslavian National Army, their motorist vehicles, transporting goods and materials into the area of Cardak. We did not know in the beginning what it was, but never before have cases like that

So we noticed

been happening, that had been happening.

military trucks coming into the neighborhood, going to specific locations, and then returning back.

We noticed that our neighbors started arming themselves. We noticed that they organized checkpoints in the neighborhood. And in the beginning, they led us to believe that we were welcome in these activities. In the beginning, they lead all of us non-Serbs to believe that we are in this together, and if there is ever any problem or alarm in our neighborhood, we are going to protect our neighborhood from this enemy who nobody ever really had an idea who it was going to be.

But then as April 1992 approached, suddenly they withdrew themselves from Croats and Bosniaks and, basically, they just organized their own checkpoints. I noticed in my neighborhood that they started building sniper nests right across the street from my house. My next-door neighbor, in the attic of his house, we noticed that he built areas in anticipation of like an armed military, military action of some sort.

And they became increasingly secretive towards us. And if we inquired about something, it came to a point where they were also hostile, saying, "I cannot discuss this with you. You are not part of it. If you were supposed to know, you would have been notified, so please stay out of it, and don't ask me questions of that nature anymore."

Q When did you start hearing grenades, bombs, gunfire?

A It would have to be towards the end of the month of March, we heard grenades from the town that was about 25 kilometers north of Derventa. The town was called Bosanski Brod. We heard loud explosions at night. We could even see fires at night. We could see the sky light up from explosions.

We became -- we came to know that they were -- that

somebody was targeting the oil refinery in Bosanski Brod, so we started hearing explosions about 25 kilometers away about the end of or the second part of March. As the time progressed, the explosions came closer and closer and closer, and around, I would say, first of or mid April the explosions started targeting the immediate areas where we lived.

- Q And at that point, what did you do for your safety?
- A We couldn't do much. In the beginning, when we were part of these checkpoints, safety checkpoints with Serbs, we would basically stand outside at night and monitor the area, you know, basically just doing guard duty without any arms and none of that.

As the explosions started closing up on our house, the only smart thing and only thing we could do was to hide in a safe area within our house, which were mostly basements. And we were hiding from the grenades, not wanting to be killed or injured.

Q I want to draw your attention specifically to on or about

1 April the 18th. Were you hiding in a basement at that time? 2 On and off, yes. There were parts of the day when the 3 bomb shelling was intense. We were in the basement. Then if it let up and we needed water or food, I would leave the 4 5 basement for a short period of time, grab what I needed, go to the bathroom, and come back. So, yes, mostly I was. 6 7 And did you hear or see anything that day when you left the basement? 8 9 Yes. During the day, I saw a large construction truck being taken over by our neighbors. They dragged the driver 10 11 out and they took the vehicle, parked it at the bottom of my street, which -- they parked it on the street called Ulica 12 13 Prvoj Maja, which was the main artery between Doboj and Bosanski Brod. And Derventa was right in the middle of it. 14 15 So by blocking that street, the truck was positioned so to 16 deny access to anyone traveling that road. It was our 17 neighbors, they put the truck there. 18 And later on that day, we heard small-arms fire coming 19 from that area, and loud screaming and yelling. And my father 20 and I, we were in the house at that time. We left the 21 basement shortly to see what was going on, because we heard 22 the bullets flying and everything. We looked and we seen a small group of our neighbors, four or five neighbors, walking 23 24 past our house, and leading -- leading another person while 25 they were hitting him with their rifles and kicking him and

1 punching him in the face, screaming like racial slurs and swearing at him. They led him past our house, and then I went 2 back into our basement. 3 In addition to the racial slurs that they were screaming, 4 5 did you hear anything else that they said? If you would like me to quote what they said, I can, but 6 7 it was very strong swear words. MS. SPENCE: With the Court's permission. 8 9 THE COURT: No objection. They were -- I really don't feel comfortable saying it 10 11 because there's people here. But anyway, they were saying, "You fucking motherfucker. We'll fuck your mother. You want 12 13 independent Bosnia? Here you go, " and in that context. All of them -- there was a group of four or five leading him 14 15 around. They were hitting him and saying all of this stuff in 16 the course of doing that. 17 BY MS. SPENCE: And those were people that you recognized as Serbian 18 19 neighbors of yours in Cardak? 20 Those were people that I saw around the city, because my 21 city was small, and pretty much everyone knew everyone, 22 like -- and some of the people that lived there were the 23 people who I seen walking by my house on a daily basis, so 24 they lived in the immediate neighborhood.

Did there come a time that you started staying in a

25

neighbor's basement instead of your own? 1 Small-arms fire intensified within a few hours from 2 3 what we witnessed, and also the grenade attacks intensified as And our basement wasn't exactly a basement. It was --4 5 it was dug in maybe about a foot and a half, so we felt that if a grenade hit close enough, we were not really that well 6 7 protected. So our neighbor two houses away from our house came over 8 9 and said, "My basement is safer, and there's some other of our neighbors with us in my house already." He said, "If you 10 11 would like -- if you feel safer, if you would like to come and join us, you're welcome to stay with us in our basement." 12 13 So we chose an opportunity when there was no fighting going on, and we ran to his basement, and that's where we took 14 15 refuge for the next period of over maybe three days or so. 16 And what -- did you see anything happen to your neighbor 17 at that time? 18 Yes. What happened next was the street fighting 19 intensified. Suddenly -- the grenades falling around were breaking and shattering all the glass around. So by the sound 20 of people running around the house in which basement we were, 21 we heard people running around, screaming, giving each other 22 directions, shooting firearms, grenades were falling. We knew 23 24 something was going on, so we were in the basement.

The house owner's mother-in-law, she was 90-plus years

25

old, she was upstairs. She refused to come downstairs in the basement. So we heard her walking around the house, and the owner of the house decided to try to go upstairs and tell her to be quiet because — or to try to convince her to come into the basement because fighting was taking place.

He opened the basement door in order to go around and go upstairs to the first floor. As soon as he opened the door, somebody noticed him. And they said, "Hey you, come over here."

And he said, "It's me, Becir (phonetic). Don't you recognize me?"

They said, "Come over here, I said."

He said, "I'm unarmed. My hands are up in the air." And we heard by the glass shards in the front yard that he made a couple of steps. He said, "I'll stand right here next to this blue bin." And as soon as he made two steps, we heard two shots, and we heard him scream, "Aah," and then fell down.

Then we heard some more running around. We closed the basement door because we didn't know what was going to happen next. There was some soldiers running through the yard, back and forth. We knew that he was injured or dead. We were scared and did not want to go out and see what happened.

We never heard of him again.

But a couple of days later when we left the basement, we all had to step over his dead body. He was killed in front of

1 his house, unarmed, with his hands up in the air, two rounds. Now, I want to ask you about the people that you saw 2 3 running around over the various days. Were they armed? Yes. 4 Α 5 With what? With automatic weapons. AK -- Yugoslavian-made rifles, 6 7 assault rifles. Were they wearing any uniforms? 8 9 No. No. They were dressed in regular clothing like you and I. In fact, I witnessed my next-door neighbor walking up 10 11 to the house where one of those trucks before delivered goods, and walking out with a brand-new rifle, assault rifle, oil 12 13 dripping from it. And I said -- I was still thinking that this is this 14 15 mutual spirit, we're all trying to defend ourselves from this, 16 we don't know what enemy. 17 I said -- his name was Miso. I said, "Miso, where did 18 you get that gun? I would like to get some for me and my dad. 19 We don't have anything." I said, "We want to defend ourselves too." 20 He said, "If you were supposed to know, you would have 21 22 been told so." He said, "You need to not ask me any more 23 questions about this." 24 I was appalled and shocked. I said, wait, this is my

next-door neighbor. I grew up with his two children.

25

1 played, day in/day out, from four or five years old. 2 helped him, he helped us anytime. We were closer than family. 3 All of a sudden he tells me something like that. So, no, they were not in uniforms, but they were heavily 4 5 armed. And when you -- you mentioned when you left the basement 6 7 and you had to step over his body, where did you go? We spent about three days in the basement without food, 8 9 water, anything. We didn't even have the bathrooms or nothing. We were petrified from what was going on. There was 10 11 adults with us. I think I was the only child -- not a child, 18-year-old. So the elderly, they decided that the best thing 12 for us to do would be to try to walk to our local hospital. 13 So we built a white flag, formed a line, and we -- at 14 15 this point, we didn't even care if we were going to get killed 16 or not. We decided to take our chance and try to make it to 17 the local hospital. So we opened the door when everything was 18 quiet, left the basement, and walked about I would say four 19 and a half, five miles to make it to the hospital. We walked 20 through the whole neighborhood and made it to the hospital 21 safely. 22 And from the hospital, where did you go? At the hospital, there were many, many people gathered, 23 24 who probably got the same idea they could get shelter and 25 basic needs there. There was a lot of our neighbors, a lot of

6

9

1 other people from other parts of town. Women and children 2 were put in trucks and driven to Doboj, and from there, 3 everybody was trying to make a connection with their family elsewhere, just to leave the Derventa area. 4 5 And I had my grandma with me and my mother, so my father and I made a decision that I will travel with them through 7 Doboj deeper south, into the southern part of Bosnia that was peaceful at that time, where we had family. And that I would 8 bring my mother and my elderly grandmother to our family in Zenica (phonetic), which is south of Derventa. And that's 10 11 what we did. 12 My father stayed there. I got on a truck with my mother and my grandmother, and we made it to Zenica. The day before 13 the town of Doboj was also, we heard, occupied. 14 15 MS. SPENCE: Thank you. I don't have any other 16 questions. 17 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Spence. 18 Mr. Heaphy, cross-examine? 19 MR. HEAPHY: I don't. Thank you, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 21 THE WITNESS: If I may say one thing before I leave? 22 THE COURT: Hang on a second. You don't get to offer evidence. 23 24 THE WITNESS: No, it's not evidence. 25 THE COURT: I know. But you may want to talk to

```
1
   Ms. Spence before you --
2
         (Discussion off the record between Ms. Spence and the
 3
   witness.)
            THE WITNESS: What I wanted to say is, I appreciate
 4
5
   the United States and this Court taking time and all its
   effort to offer Almaz Nezirovic a fair chance to show that he
6
7
   is -- I just want to thank all of you here for giving us this
   opportunity to speak up for justice for this man. That's all
8
9
   I wanted to say.
10
            THE COURT: Thank you very much.
11
            THE WITNESS: Thank you.
            THE COURT: All right. All right, Ms. Spence, call
12
   your next witness.
13
14
            MS. SPENCE: Maid Sipic.
15
            THE COURT: Will he need the assistance of an
16
   interpreter?
17
            MS. SPENCE: Yes, at least at times.
18
            THE COURT: All right. Stand and be sworn, sir.
19
           MAID SIPIC, WITNESS FOR ALMAZ NEZIROVIC, SWORN
20
            THE COURT: Mr. Sipic, first of all, state your full
21
   name and spell it for court reporter.
            THE WITNESS: Your Honor, my name is Maid Sipic.
22
   M-A-I-D. Last name Sipic, S-I-P-I-C.
23
24
            THE COURT: We have an interpreter here for you.
                                                               Do
   you want her to interpret for you full-time?
25
```

```
THE WITNESS: I understand perfectly, but sometimes
 1
 2
   my accent, probably you have trouble with me.
 3
             THE COURT: All right. When you need assistance, you
   let the interpreter know. And if we need some assistance,
 4
 5
   we'll ask her for help as well.
 6
             Go ahead, Ms. Spence.
 7
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
 8
   BY MS. SPENCE:
 9
        Good afternoon, Mr. Sipic. What city and state do you
   live in now?
10
11
         (In English) I live in Colorado, Aurora.
   Α
        And how long have you lived there?
12
   0
13
         (In English) I lived from May 1998.
   Α
        Are you a U.S. citizen now?
14
   Q
15
   Α
         (In English) Yes, from December 6th, 2004.
16
        Where were you born?
   Q
17
         (In English) I was born in Derventa.
   Α
18
        And turning your attention to 1992, were you living in
19
   Derventa then?
20
         (In English) Yes.
   Α
        What kind of work did you do at that time?
21
22
         (In English) I work in the city, most military
   Α
   administration.
23
24
        When did you first notice any indication of violence in
25
   Derventa?
```

(In English) Probably end of March, maybe early April 1 Α 1992. 2 What did you notice? 3 (In English) By night we heard -- where were most 4 Α 5 Serbian people live, by night, shooting, snipers shooting and this kind of stuff. But nothing major, you know. 6 7 And then what did you notice next? (In English) One day, Serbian artillery, who was located 8 9 maybe a few miles outside the city, started shooting at a building, mostly Croatia and Bosnian people. It was maybe 10 heavy artillery, more than two hours. 11 I want to turn your attention to April the 18th of '92. 12 Did anything happen that day? 13 (In English) Yes. After this heavy artillery in April 14 Α 15 1992, I go with my friend in car after my grandma -- after she 16 was -- went in the house, without her. In city, where it is 17 bad for city, Cardak, it was a big construction truck close 18 city. But before, when we drive there, we drive very fast 19 because we were scared for sniper shooting. We drive very 20 fast, almost across -- we saw big truck. My friend hit in truck. Same second, somebody -- sniper hit him in face and 21 22 his face blow in my face. Same second, I jump from car, they 23 start shooting, maybe 30 minutes. I got behind car. I got 24 shot in leg because I couldn't hide my leg behind tire from

25

car.

- And then what happened? 1 (In English) He was shooting probably 30 minutes. 2 3 Suddenly everything stopped. When I saw, we have bigger smoke and truck, some UN truck, blue truck, actually, inside was 4 Russian people, Russian soldiers, peaceful people. People 5 took me out and tried to take me to garrison, which is 6 7 military base. I was lucky, second UN car show up with Danish soldiers. People stop Serbian soldiers and take me garrison, 8 9 because this guy, probably officer, told me, "We can't mess with -- only march." I thought -- "We separate nobody." 10 11 Then, but we had to leave. Serbian people took me in 12 Cardak. I was shot. I start, you know, limping in my leg. 13 Maybe, I don't know, it was because I was shot, people started 14 hitting me, punching me. 15 I'm going to ask you to answer in Bosnian at this point so that we can hear more clearly what you're describing 16 17 happened to you. 18 (In English) Okay. I'm sorry. Because --Α 19 It's okay. Q 20 Α (In English) -- the emotions there. 21 You were limping, why? Q 22 (Through interpreter) I was shot in my leg. (English) Α
- I was behind car probably 20 minutes. (Through interpreter) 23 24 The Russian peacekeepers arrived at that time and they wanted 25 to take me in their protection. And then at the same time,

the Danish peacekeepers were there at the same time. And I was hoping that they are going to be able to protect me, but then they said, "We cannot really get involved in their dispute." Both vehicles had to leave.

And then the Serbian people, about four or five of them, came with the guns and the rifles. (English) They take me, they start kicking me, punch me. (Through interpreter) They took me, they started kicking me and punching me. They handcuffed me and they put me in some basement.

A few minutes later, there was a man who was a commander of militia in Cardak. And then a few seconds later Dr. Stajcic arrived, who I personally knew. And then, I will never forget that moment, even though he was a doctor, wearing a white coat, and he had his name on it, he took the rifle gun -- (In English) it was AK-47, pushed in my face, he tried pushing me to wall. (Through interpreter) He took AK-47 and put in my face and pushed me to the wall.

In Cardak, they had a radio station, so they knew that I was there already. Commander wanted me alive because they knew who I was. They already had my identification, and he knew where I was working at the time. And that situation that they wanted me alive is what probably saved my life, because they tied my hands, they put me in the basement, where I was for — sitting for about three days without any food, any water.

Q What happened after those three days?

2.2.

A (Through interpreter) I learned later, a couple of days after that, some volunteers from Croatia came to Cardak to release or help the people.

There was big gunfire that was very intense on the outskirts of Cardak, and that scared the Serb groups that were in there, and they left, they retreated. And they were planning to cross the river and to save themselves by going to their side, military side. And someone took me out of the basement, and they took me with them because that's where they wanted me.

I saw also a Serbian man who was very tall, and he was injured, and he had bandages all over his chest and his stomach. (In English) He sit down, he can't walk. (Through interpreter) He was sitting down. He couldn't walk because he lost too much blood. The man who was escorting me asked me to carry him. Myself and another man, we were taking him through many different roads.

Maybe 30 minutes later, after we crossed the river and went through some roads, we came to the Serbian station, military station.

When we arrived there, the Croatian artillery started bombing. When that happened, the commander said their forces should take me to Banja Luka, which is the biggest town -- or the main town in Republic of Serbia, Republika Srpska. And

1 that was the political and military force at the time. 2 I spent four or five days in Banja Luka, under 3 investigation. No one gave me any medical help. I did not eat, I did not drink. If the police would appear, anyone from 4 5 them, all they would want to do is to kill you, strangle you, cut your throat. 6 7 A few days after Banja Luka, they transferred me to prison camp in Manjaca. There, there was several thousand of 8 9 imprisoned people from Bosnia, and Croatians. I apologize, I forgot something. While I was in Banja 10 Luka, the Red Cross came and they registered me. 11 THE INTERPRETER: I have to just clarify for the 12 Court, I was making sure that I have the month and the date 13 correct, because we do it the other way around over there. 14 15 (Through interpreter) So on June 6th, I was released 16 after being in the prison camp for just a little bit less than 17 two months. 18 And this is the picture that one of the journalists took 19 and wrote the article. This is how I looked on June 9th, 20 after not being shaved for two months, without food or drink. 21 In less than two months, I lost 25 pounds. BY MS. SPENCE: 22 23 Let me ask you, the people that beat you and took you

23 Q Let me ask you, the people that beat you and took you 24 into the home in Cardak and then carried you off to Banja

25 Luka, were they armed?

Sipic - Direct

```
1
         (In English) Yes, ma'am.
   Α
 2
        What kind of weapons?
   Q
 3
        (In English) AK-47.
   Α
        Were they wearing any uniforms?
 4
   Q
5
        (In English) No, ma'am.
   Α
        Civilian clothes?
6
   Q
 7
   Α
        (In English) Civilian.
8
            MS. SPENCE: That's all the questions I have.
9
            THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Spence.
10
            Mr. Heaphy?
11
            MR. HEAPHY: I don't have any questions. Thank you,
12
   Your Honor.
13
            THE COURT: Thank you, sir, very much for coming.
            MS. SPENCE: Your Honor, I'm going to have some video
14
15
   with the next witness, and I would ask for a little bit of
16
   time to get it set up.
17
            THE COURT: All right. Well, it's 12:45. Do y'all
18
   want to take a break until about 2:00 o'clock?
19
            How much more do you have, Ms. Spence?
20
            MS. SPENCE: Not a lot. Just him and then
   Mr. Nezirovic.
21
22
            THE COURT: And are you going to have any rebuttal,
   Mr. Heaphy?
23
24
            MR. HEAPHY:
                         No.
25
            THE COURT: How long -- well, why don't we take a
```

Sipic - Direct

1 break until 2:00 o'clock, and then we'll come back and hear 2 the last few witnesses and then hear argument. Does that 3 work? MS. SPENCE: Yes. 4 THE COURT: We'll stand in recess until 2:00. 5 (Recess taken from 12:45 p.m. until 2:04 p.m.) 6 7 THE COURT: All right. We are back on the record. Let the record reflect the government is present 8 9 again with its counsel. The defendant is present with his 10 counsel as well. 11 After we broke, and before we went to lunch, I met with counsel and we talked about a couple of evidentiary 12 13 issues. Let me summarize those, and then, Ms. Spence, Mr. Heaphy, I'll let you-all put anything else on the record 14 15 that we need to put on. 16 The government moved in limine to exclude evidence of 17 videotape of the bombing and results of the war that had 18 occurred, specifically in the Derventa area, and I heard 19 argument on that from both counsel. And I made the ruling 20 that the testimony of a witness that would verify or 21 authenticate videotape of the results of bombing in Derventa, 22 specifically in April 1992 of churches, mosques, and other 23 government buildings that it's represented would have been 24 seen by Mr. Nezirovic, is going to be cumulative. 25 Mr. Nezirovic, as I understand, is going to testify,

Sipic - Direct

and certainly can testify as to what he saw during that time period. But the videotape itself is cumulative.

I will allow the proffer of that testimony, the proffer of the videotape so that there's a full and complete record.

Also I heard argument to move in limine -- to limit the testimony of Mr. Nezirovic as to specifically his knowledge of other atrocities that were occurring in other prison camps. And I made the ruling that while the political offense exception does have a subjective component, it's the subjective component as to his understanding of the geopolitical events that were going on and the role that he played in connection with the particular political organization he was a part of, in this particular case, the HVO, and their role in the larger political contest.

And it's irrelevant and I think goes into areas that are otherwise excluded in extradition hearings to allow him to testify specifically as to his knowledge of other atrocities that are going on, or specifically as to his knowledge of whether — I believe it's Dr. Stajcic, if I'm pronouncing that correctly, would have been considered a combatant versus a civilian. I believe that that calls into contest part of the government's evidence that has been proffered, that in this extradition setting, the defendant does not have the ability to be able to contest the government's evidence.

```
1
            So, again, understanding that Mr. Nezirovic will
   proffer whatever testimony he wants to make sure the record
 2
 3
   has -- so that there is a full and complete record.
            Mr. Heaphy, does that fully caption everything we
 4
 5
   discussed in the back?
            MR. HEAPHY: Yes, Your Honor, it does.
 6
 7
            THE COURT: Ms. Spence, does that caption everything?
            Do you want to proffer the evidence now or do you
 8
 9
   want to do it at the end? It's whatever your preference is.
            MS. SPENCE: I'll go ahead and do it now, if that's
10
11
   okay.
            THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
12
13
            MS. SPENCE: I call Mr. Ibrahim Pelesic.
14
            THE COURT: Come on up, sir.
15
            Will he need an interpreter?
16
            MS. SPENCE: Yes.
17
            THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.
18
         IBRAHIM PELESIC, WITNESS FOR ALMAZ NEZIROVIC, SWORN
19
            THE COURT: Sir, I will ask you to state your full
20
   name and spell it, please.
21
            THE WITNESS: (In English) My name is Ibrahim
   Pelesic. P-E-L-E-S-I-C.
22
23
            THE COURT: All right. And you spelled it exactly
24
   the same way as your son who testified earlier?
25
             THE WITNESS: (In English)
                                         Yeah.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Thank you very much. Ms. Spence is going
 2
   to ask you some questions first.
 3
         (All responses by the following witness were in English,
   without the assistance of an interpreter.)
 4
 5
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
 6
   BY MS. SPENCE:
 7
         Good afternoon, sir. Where were you born?
   Q
 8
        In Derventa.
   Α
 9
        And were you living there in 1992?
10
   Α
        Yes.
11
   Q
        In what section?
        Derventa, Cardak.
12
   Α
13
        What position did you secure after the hostilities
   started in April of 1992?
14
15
   Α
         I was a journalist. I still have my credit, since I was
16
   20 years old.
17
        And you were working for what company, or what --
18
   Α
        A local radio station.
19
   Q
        What was it called?
20
   Α
        Radio Derventa.
             THE REPORTER: I'm sorry?
21
22
   Α
        Radio Derventa.
23
            MS. SPENCE: Radio Derventa.
24
        Radio station, a local radio station.
25
   BY MS. SPENCE:
```

```
1
        And what were your job responsibilities in that position?
   Q
 2
        A journalist job.
   Α
 3
        Take photos?
        Taking pictures. You're writing for a radio.
 4
   Α
 5
        And did you in fact take video of --
        I have about 80 hours documents from this war, especially
6
7
   from this area, but I can't . . . (pause)
            MS. SPENCE: To make a clear record, I'm going to
8
9
   have to ask him to identify certain clips. I won't play them
   in full. I just need to ask him to identify them.
10
11
            THE COURT: That's fine. And it's my understanding
   you will then put those clips into the record later on?
12
13
            MS. SPENCE: The disk, yes.
14
            THE COURT: Okay. Very well.
15
         (Video is played.)
16
   BY MS. SPENCE:
17
        Can you tell us what that clip shows?
18
        This is Derventa business area bombing from Serbs. They
19
   are mainly factories in Derventa at this time. About 6-,
20
   7,000 people work there. They really destroyed everything for
   things they did, mosques, church, and business area.
21
2.2
            THE COURT: And what we'll do is, that will be marked
23
   as the first video clip. Do you want to identify those on
24
   the --
            MS. SPENCE: I guess I need to, yes. I can't see.
25
```

```
May I approach?
 1
   BY MS. SPENCE:
 3
        Okay. The first one that you identified as showing the
   bombing of Derventa --
 5
        Yes, business area of Derventa.
 6
        -- is the file named NewClip1.MP4.
 7
             THE COURT: And they all have the MP4 extension; is
 8
   that right?
 9
            MS. SPENCE: Yes, they do.
             THE COURT: So you can identify the file name.
10
   BY MS. SPENCE:
11
        This file is 145201. And I'll try again and get you to
12
13
   tell me what it shows.
        This is Muslim mosque. It was really destroyed. And
14
   Α
15
   they destroy all Muslim mosques and Catholic church in this
16
   area, in Derventa, all of them. Only Orthodox church at this
17
   time was without any damage.
18
   Q
        And what is this?
19
   Α
        That's Catholic church.
        A Catholic church?
20
   Q
21
   Α
        Yes, they destroyed.
2.2
            MS. SPENCE: And that file identified as the Catholic
   church is 259314.
23
24
        (Video is played.)
25
        That's Catholic church too. They are downtown of
```

```
1
   Derventa.
   BY MS. SPENCE:
 3
        Is it the same Catholic church or a different one?
        It's different church.
 4
   Α
5
            MS. SPENCE: And that is file 415466.
6
         (Video is played.)
 7
   BY MS. SPENCE:
8
        That's mosque, Muslims mosque, in downtown Derventa,
9
   destroyed.
10
        Is it the same mosque that we saw?
11
   Α
       Different.
        Different mosque?
12
   Q
13
        They are all different. And in Derventa, we had,
   downtown, three Muslims mosque, and one Catholic church.
14
15
   are destroyed, all of them.
16
        728750 is the mosque you just identified.
17
        (Video is played.)
18
        Muslim mosque too.
   Α
19
   BY MS. SPENCE:
        And that's a different one?
20
21
   Α
        Yeah.
22
            MS. SPENCE: And that is 935953. I'm sorry for being
   blind, Judge.
23
24
             THE COURT: I have no better luck.
25
        That's Catholic church. It's old, about 300 years, and
```

```
1
   we had there a lot of our records. They never destroyed
2
   something with such history, but they destroy this. After I
3
   took this pictures, two days later, they destroy everything,
   the whole church. That was that damage, but two days later
   they did damage -- destroyed it.
   BY MS. SPENCE:
6
 7
        And both that day and the two days later are shown on
   this clip?
8
9
        Two days, yeah.
10
            THE COURT: Identify that.
11
            MS. SPENCE: This clip is 12481337.
   BY MS. SPENCE:
12
13
        And you took these video clips?
        Yes. Originally I did.
14
   Α
15
        Do you know from reviewing the films last night
   approximately what date these were taken?
16
17
        It's all end of May and the beginning of -- end of April
18
   and the beginning -- well, April and May, these two months.
19
   It's very difficult. Some of these pictures have a date,
20
   original date. But you really didn't show all of the tape, as
21
   you can see.
2.2
            THE COURT: And that's in 1992?
23
            THE WITNESS: Yes, 1992.
24
   BY MS. SPENCE:
25
        Since I know we looked at these clips last night, if I
```

1 can refresh your memory, do you recall one of them, so I don't 2 have to show the whole film, was dated April 20th, '92? 3 I can't tell you the date, the 27th. You know, some of these tapes have date originally on the tape. 4 5 But the date on there is accurate? But I can't tell you now, watching, if it was 19 or 18 6 7 April. But it's April and May 1992. But the dates reflected in the video, the one that shows 8 9 the date stamp, is accurate? 10 Α Yes. 11 And where was that building located? That's really Orthodox church, some kind of institution. 12 13 But they accidently -- because next to this building was a radio station where I worked, and they had a plan to destroy a 14 15 radio station. And every time when we start with program, 16 they start with bombing, because we always said something 17 against, for, against political and everything. And then they try to destroy radio station. But accidently they destroyed 18 19 their own, own institution, something like that. I don't 20 know, high institution, higher people there. It was accident. It was nothing about Catholics or -- that's Orthodox. 21 MS. SPENCE: And that's 14051447 for the record. 2.2 23 THE COURT: All right. 24 MS. SPENCE: All right. Thank you. I don't have any 25 other questions.

```
And I would offer to lodge this disk with the Court
1
2
   that has the video clips.
3
            THE COURT: It will be defense -- I will make it
   Exhibit A, since it's a proffered exhibit and it has been
 4
5
   excluded.
6
         (Defense Exhibit A was marked for identification.)
 7
            THE COURT: Do you have any questions, Mr. Heaphy?
            MR. HEAPHY: No. Thank you.
8
9
            THE COURT: Thank you very much. You may step down.
            All right, Ms. Spence.
10
11
            MS. SPENCE: Call Mr. Almaz Nezirovic.
12
            THE COURT: Come on up here and stand and be sworn,
13
   sir.
14
            THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.
15
         ALMAZ NEZIROVIC, WITNESS FOR ALMAZ NEZIROVIC, SWORN
16
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
17
   BY MS. SPENCE:
18
        Good afternoon. Would you state your name for the
19
   record, and spell it.
20
        (In English) A-L-M-A-Z, N-E-Z-R-O-V-I-C. Almaz
   Nezirovic.
21
22
        Where were you born?
   Q.
23
        (In English) I am born in a city named Derventa,
24
   August 14, 1959.
25
        And raised in Derventa?
```

- 1 A (In English) Yes.
- 2 Q What is your ethnic background?
- 3 A (In English) My ethnic background is -- I am like -- I
- 4 was born like a Muslim, but I'm not a very religious man. I
- 5 am, like, I don't have much time for religion. But I am born
- 6 like a Muslim.
- 7 Q And are you married?
- 8 A (In English) Yeah, I'm married.
- 9 Q And what is the ethnic background of your wife?
- 10 A (In English) My wife is -- Bosnia is a specific country
- 11 | for a mix of people, you know, between nation and -- my wife's
- 12 | father is Catholic. The mother of my wife is Orthodox. That
- 13 | means mix, from two religions.
- 14 Q So in terms of the nationalists, that would be half Serb
- 15 and half Croatian?
- 16 A (In English) Yes. Yes. She's like -- if you call that
- 17 mix.
- 18 | Q The Bosnia you grew up in didn't care about that, did
- 19 they?
- 20 A (In English) No, that's why I say, that's something
- 21 | specific to Bosnia, marriage between two religions was never
- 22 ever a problem. And my father never teach me something like
- 23 that. And I'm pretty much sure the father and mother of my
- 24 wife has never teached my wife something like that.
- 25 | Q Where did you work in Derventa before the war broke out?

- 1 A (In English) I worked for the metal industry, like we
- 2 made some pipe.
- 3 Q And you knew there was war in Croatia?
- 4 A (In English) Yeah.
- 5 Q Did you think that there was going to be a war in Bosnia?
- 6 A (In English) Local TV showed what's going on in Croatia,
- 7 | what's going on before Croatia, Slovenia. Pretty much, you
- 8 know, people is confused and scared. And, you know, I see
- 9 some bad things on TV, and I was like, myself, very afraid
- 10 what was going to happen to me and what was going to happen to
- 11 | my family.
- 12 Q Before the actual hostilities came to Derventa, did you
- 13 think they were going to come to Derventa?
- 14 A (In English) You know, I listen -- I listen to my
- 15 | father, and he just don't believe something like that. And I
- 16 agree at the time, you know, because we would never do
- 17 anything to nobody, and I don't see any reason for somebody
- 18 attack me with no reason. And I was like halfway prepared for
- 19 something bad and halfway not.
- 20 | Q When did the violence start, the bombing?
- 21 A (In English) My memory say like the beginning -- no, the
- 22 end of March I heard bombing. Then that was louder and louder
- 23 for everybody on April, through April.
- 24 Q And then you really became concerned?
- 25 A (In English) Yeah.

What was the HVO? 1 2 (In English) HVO, that's Croatian military forces. Α That's originally by Bosnian/Croatian, or Bosnian Catholic. 3 And what was the local defense in your area in 1992? 4 5 (In English) 1992, that's like people is -- that moment is a little bit divided. And, anyway, the population of 6 7 Muslim people is about 7 percent in Derventa. And we are organized, but -- like, Bosnian army. But we don't have the 8 9 logistic support from anybody from the HVO, and that was reason why I joined the HVO. I joined -- that moment I joined 10 any army which can defend me and my family; it doesn't matter 11 who and what, you know. 12 13 I never ever forget it, the first day of war. I never ever forget it. I laid with my wife and my son in the living 14 15 room, laid on couch, and I heard grenades and shooting and 16 everything. I never ever forget about that, to today. 17 And why did you choose the military police and the HVO to 18 join? 19 (In English) Because no other -- any other military force than HVO -- a little group of Bosnian army, like the 20 one -- I don't know exactly to say, we called it battalion. 21 22 THE INTERPRETER: Battalion. And I don't have any other option for defend yourself. 23 24 That's why I choose HVO. 25 BY MS. SPENCE:

- 1 And were you assigned to the Rabic camp? 2 (In English) Yeah. That was like something like --3 people leaving at that time were military and civilian in Derventa. They give me -- that position, I have it because 4 5 they tried to give me a little pause because I was 30 day on first front line. 6 7 I'm going to have to ask you to speak in Bosnian and translate, because I'm having a hard time understanding. 8 9 (Through interpreter) Okay. This was a kind of reward for me because I spent previously 30 days on the front line. 10 11 (In English) And I was a short time period with military police. 12 When did you join the HVO? 13 14 Α (In English) In April. I don't know the date, you know. 15 I understand. 16 Now, when you were assigned to the Rabic facility, what
- Now, when you were assigned to the Rabic facility, what
 was your understanding of who was being detained there?

 A (In English) I heard from Rabic people which more
 witnessing that -- everything was happening.
- THE COURT: Get him to speak in Bosnian, because I'm having a hard time understanding as well.
- A (Through interpreter) From the stories of others,
 because I couldn't know that firsthand, because I was already
 spending time on the front line, I heard that people from the
 part of the town called Cardak are detained there.

```
BY MS. SPENCE:
1
2
        And did you understand them to be combatants or
   civilians?
        (In English) From my opinion, that's not civilian,
 4
5
   that's very, very good army soldiers. And that's what I know.
        And what was your job as a guard?
6
 7
        (In English) Just keeping the people locked up, you
   know.
8
9
        And why did you want to keep them locked up?
       (In English) Because -- because the people attack whole
10
   Α
   city, and attack me, my friend, my neighbor, attack everybody.
11
            MS. SPENCE: I think that's the end of my questions
12
   for the stuff that the Court has ruled would be okay to ask.
13
   I would now like to proffer the remaining testimony.
14
15
            THE COURT: Do you want to cross-examine first,
16
   Mr. Heaphy?
17
            MR. HEAPHY: Just one second. No, thank you, Your
18
   Honor.
19
            THE COURT: All right. Go ahead and proffer anything
20
   else.
   BY MS. SPENCE:
21
        Before you joined the HVO, had you seen some of the
22
   destruction in Derventa?
23
24
            THE COURT: I didn't -- let me back up. I didn't
25
   exclude any testimony that he could testify to as to what he's
```

```
1
   aware of with respect to the conflict. What I excluded was
2
   the fact that he couldn't testify about being aware of other
3
   atrocities going on in other camps.
            MS. SPENCE: Okay. Then I have a few more questions.
 4
 5
            THE COURT: All right.
   BY MS. SPENCE:
6
 7
        Before joining the HVO, what damage did you see in
   Derventa?
8
9
         (In English) Damage to houses, damage to buildings,
   everywhere. You know, you look west or east, everywhere, just
10
11
   damage to houses, damage building, school, hospital,
   everything.
12
13
        And --
14
   Α
        (In English) One time -- one time I count when I --
15
   during -- when I was at the Rabic, I sitting in one chair and
16
   count about, over 2,000 grenades for one day. And I stopped,
17
   after 200, I stopped counting. I believe that that day was
18
   1,000 grenades from where I sit.
19
        And what about sniper fire?
20
        (In English) Sniper fire. First civilian in Derventa is
21
   dead of sniper fire. That was just one old man who was trying
2.2
   to go to bank to take his pension, you know, and sniper shoot
   him to death.
23
24
        Did you see anybody injured or killed by sniper fire up
25
   close?
```

- 1 A (In English) Yeah, a couple of times. On front line.
- 2 And, you know, when I said "front line," front line, when you
- 3 have fighting in cities, you never know who is behind you, who
- 4 is left, who is right. It's like an imaginary front line.
- 5 It's not real front line. Two armies, you know, divided by a
- 6 river or something, you know, that's very dangerous, and --
- 7 because you never know who is left, who is right, who is, you
- 8 know, and I -- I see a couple people who is dead from sniper.
- 9 Q And when was the other time?
- 10 A (In English) The other time is when my friend dying from
- 11 | sniper. That was in April, I think April 24. That's -- I
- 12 remember that day because that was a very good friend to me,
- 13 and he was younger than me, maybe ten years.
- 14 Q So how old was he?
- 15 A (In English) He was about 19. 19, 18, something like
- 16 that. I was at that time 33 years.
- 17 Q And where were you when he was shot?
- 18 A (In English) I was in car. He saw the guy, and he
- 19 trying run out in the street, and he was late for a little
- 20 | bit, and just, sniper just -- I don't see exactly from where
- 21 | it came. I just see him one shot and he was down.
- 22 Q Did he live?
- 23 A (In English) No. He lived maybe, maybe about 30
- 24 seconds, something like that. I never forget that, because
- $25 \mid \text{both sides he have a big hole like that, because that was a}$

special sniper bullet. And behind him, he left wife which is 1 2 Serbian, and she was pregnant, which means baby never see his 3 father. MS. SPENCE: Now, before I move into other questions? 4 5 THE COURT: Does that prompt any cross-examination, 6 Mr. Heaphy? 7 MR. HEAPHY: No. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. So this is your proffer? 8 9 MS. SPENCE: This is my proffer. BY MS. SPENCE: 10 11 Did you also hear on the news and from acquaintances who 12 lived elsewhere in Bosnia and in Croatia about crimes that were being committed by Serb nationalists? 13 (In English) Yeah. That's on TV, on the radio, you can 14 Α 15 hear everything what's going on at that time, you know. 16 Can you be specific in describing what kind of crimes you 17 were hearing about? 18 (In English) Like cleansing territory, then killing 19 other people, civilians, specifically civilian, and put them 20 in jail. Then after that jail, they put them in concentration 21 camp. Then we see on TV dead bodies everywhere. Each city 22 have a story, and these people never ever forget it. What about women? 23 (In English) Women, that's one of the saddest story of 24 25 Bosnia, because I really did not understand why is it

```
1
   happening. But I know what's happening. They, you know,
 2
   opened some houses -- they find every time the biggest
 3
   building and bring some young Muslim or Catholic girl or
   woman, and -- I don't want to say what happened next.
 4
 5
   Everybody knows what it is: rape them.
         I know of one case. First of all, they shot by -- a
 6
 7
   women, then take a knife and dig out baby from stomach, and
   let her to watch how baby -- how baby dying, and then kill
 8
 9
   her.
10
        And did hearing about all these things influence your
11
   decision to join the HVO?
         (In English) You know, I already said I never ever
12
13
   forget it, that first day, and including the other days during
   the war, I never forget that. And I was for first time in my
14
15
   life real scared, and that's something which nobody can forget
16
   it, because -- because I already know other city people are
17
   killed, and I don't have any weapon for defense yourself. At
18
   that time, I think like that, I fear for myself. I'm thinking
19
   about my wife and my son, I don't have nothing to defense my
20
   wife or my son. It was very painful. It was very painful.
            MS. SPENCE: That's all I have.
21
22
            THE COURT:
                         Thank you.
23
            Anything further, Mr. Heaphy?
24
            MR. HEAPHY: No. Thank you.
25
             THE COURT: All right, Mr. Nezirovic, thank you very
```

Extradition of Almaz Nezrovic - 9/17/12

```
1
   much. You may step down.
2
            All right, Ms. Spence, any additional evidence?
 3
            MS. SPENCE: I would offer, Your Honor, as Defense
   Exhibit 2, translation of the letter dated March 11, 1993,
 4
5
   from the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees,
   placing him under the protection of the United Nations High
6
7
   Commissioner for Refugees.
            THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Heaphy?
8
9
            MR. HEAPHY: No, sir, Your Honor.
            THE COURT: All right, I'll admit it as Defense
10
11
   Exhibit Number 2.
         (Defendant's Exhibit Number 2 was marked and received.)
12
13
            THE COURT: Anything else, Ms. Spence?
            MS. SPENCE: That's the case, Your Honor.
14
15
            THE COURT: All right. Mr. Heaphy, any additional
16
   evidence on behalf of the government?
17
            MR. HEAPHY: No, Your Honor.
18
            THE COURT: All right. And just to make the record
19
   clear, also considered part of the record are all the exhibits
20
   that were attached to the government's initial brief, as well
   as what was attached -- what was filed yesterday, as well as
21
   the attachments to the defendant's brief that was filed on
22
23
   Wednesday. Those are considered part of the record.
24
            Is there any objection to any of those documents?
25
                         No, Your Honor. But I will say that we
            MR. HEAPHY:
```

Extradition of Almaz Nezrovic - 9/17/12

1 do have the actual certified version of the '93 document. 2 I may in the interim, before we meet again, request some 3 clarification from the Bosnian government about the import of that document, more information about the Bosnian process. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. What I'll do is, I'll leave the record open for purposes of supplementing it for that 6 7 purpose, and then any additional evidence the defendant may want to submit -- Mr. Nezirovic may want to offer as to that 8 9 particular document, its import and its effect as of 1993. What we'll do is, other than that, the record will be 10 11 closed. If the transcript is ordered, and it's ordered by one 12 13 of y'all, Ms. Webb can get paid. So the transcript can be 14 ordered. 15 Then what we'll do is set up a briefing schedule that 16 we talked about back in chambers. It springs off of when the 17 transcript gets filed, and I can talk to you-all about getting 18 that ordered as well. 19 And then once we get the transcript in, then what 20 we'll do is, I'll send out an e-mail and schedule argument on 21 the legal issues. 2.2 All right. Anything else, Mr. Heaphy? MR. HEAPHY: No. Thank you, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Ms. Spence, anything else? 24 25 MS. SPENCE: Not today, Your Honor. The Court will

Extradition of Almaz Nezrovic - 9/17/12

```
1
   stand in recess. If I can just see you-all back here.
 2
         (Court recessed at 2:04 p.m.)
 3
 4
                             CERTIFICATE
 5
   I, Judy K. Webb, certify that the foregoing is a
 6
   correct transcript from the record of proceedings in
 7
   the above-entitled matter.
 8
9
   /s/ Judy K. Webb
                          Date: 9/21/2012
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```